arXiv:1303.5001v1 [math.PR] 19 Mar 2013

MEASURABLE EVENTS INDEXED BY WORDS

PANDELIS DODOS, VASSILIS KANELLOPOULOS AND KONSTANTINOS TYROS

Abstract. For every integer $k \geqslant 2$ let $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all *words* over k, that is, all finite sequences having values in $[k] := \{1, ..., k\}$. A *Carlson–Simpson tree* of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension $m \geqslant 1$ is a subset of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of the form

$$\{w\} \cup \{w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_n(a_n) : n \in \{0, ..., m-1\} \text{ and } a_0, ..., a_n \in [k]\}$$

where w is a word over k and $(w_n)_{n=0}^{m-1}$ is a finite sequence of left variable words over k. We study the behavior of a family of measurable events in a probability space indexed by the elements of a Carlson–Simpson tree of sufficiently large dimension. Specifically we show the following.

For every integer $k \geqslant 2$, every $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and every integer $n \geqslant 1$ there exists a strictly positive constant $\theta(k, \varepsilon, n)$ with the following property. If m is a given positive integer, then there exists an integer $\mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ such that for every Carlson–Simpson tree T of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ and every family $\{A_t : t \in T\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_t) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $t \in T$, there exists a Carlson–Simpson tree S of dimension m with $S \subseteq T$ and such that for every nonempty $F \subseteq S$ we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t \in F} A_t\Big) \geqslant \theta(k, \varepsilon, |F|).$$

The proof is based, among others, on the density version of the Carlson–Simpson Theorem established recently by the authors, as well as, on a refinement – of independent interest – of a partition result due to H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson. The argument is effective and yields explicit lower bounds for the constants $\theta(k, \varepsilon, n)$.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Overview.** The present paper – which is the sequel to [5, 6] – is devoted to the study of the structure of a family of measurable events in a probability space indexed by a *Ramsey space* [3]. The most classical and illuminating case is when the events are indexed by the natural numbers. Specifically, let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a probability space and $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be a family of measurable events in (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_i) \geqslant \varepsilon > 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Using Ramsey's Theorem [21] and elementary probabilistic estimates, for every $0 < \theta < \varepsilon$ we may select an infinite subset L of $\mathbb{N}$ such that for every integer $n \geqslant 1$ and every subset F of L of cardinality

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 05D10.

Key words: words, Carlson–Simpson trees, independence.

n we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{i\in F} A_i\Big) \geqslant \theta^n. \tag{1.1}$$

In other words, the events in the family $\{A_i : i \in L\}$ are at least as correlated as if they were independent.

Now suppose that the events are indexed by another Ramsey space $\mathcal{S}$. A natural problem is to decide whether the aforementioned result is valid in the new setting. Namely, given a family $\{A_s : s \in \mathcal{S}\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_s) \geqslant \varepsilon > 0$ for every $s \in \mathcal{S}$, is it possible to find a "substructure" $\mathcal{S}'$ of $\mathcal{S}$ such that the events in the family $\{A_s : s \in \mathcal{S}'\}$ are highly correlated? And if yes, then one would like to get explicit (and, hopefully, optimal) lower bounds for their joint probability.

In all cases of interest, this problem is essentially equivalent to that of finding "copies" of given configurations inside dense sets of discrete structures, a theme of fundamental importance in Ramsey Theory. The equivalence between the two perspectives is discussed in detail in [7, §8.1] and is based on the "regularity method", a remarkable discovery of E. Szemerédi [24] asserting that dense sets of discrete structures are inherently pseudorandom.

1.2. **The main result.** Our goal in this paper is to study the above problem when the events are indexed by words; recall that, for a given integer $k \geqslant 2$, a *word over* k is just a finite sequence having values in $[k] := \{1, ..., k\}$. The set of all words over k is denoted by $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

In this context the most natural and fruitful notion of "substructure" is that of a *Carlson–Simpson tree* [4, 7]. To recall the definition we need, first, to introduce some pieces of notation and some terminology. Specifically, let $k \geqslant 2$ and fix a letter x that we regard as a variable. A *variable word over* k is a finite sequence having values in $[k] \cup \{x\}$ where the letter x appears at least once, while a *left variable word over* k is a variable word over k whose leftmost letter is the variable x. If w is a variable word and $a \in [k]$, then $w(a)$ is the word over k obtained by substituting all appearances of the letter x in w by a. The concatenation of two words u and v over k is denoted by $u^\frown v$.

Definition 1.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. A* Carlson–Simpson sequence over k *is a finite sequence $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ where m is a positive integer, w is a word over k and $w_0, ..., w_{m-1}$ are left variable words over k. A subset of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of the form*

$$\{w\} \cup \big\{w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_n(a_n) : n \in \{0, ..., m-1\} \text{ and } a_0, ..., a_n \in [k]\big\} \tag{1.2}$$

where $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ is a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k, will be called a Carlson–Simpson tree *of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$.*

It is easy to see that the Carlson–Simpson sequence $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ that generates a Carlson–Simpson tree T via formula (1.2) is unique. The corresponding positive integer m will be called the *dimension* of T and will be denoted by $\mathrm{dim}(T)$.

We are ready to state the first main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.2. *For every integer $k \geqslant 2$, every $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and every integer $n \geqslant 1$ there exists a strictly positive constant $\theta(k, \varepsilon, n)$ with the following property. If m is a given positive integer, then there exists an integer $\mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ such that for every Carlson–Simpson tree T of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ and every family $\{A_t : t \in T\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_t) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $t \in T$, there exists a Carlson–Simpson tree S of dimension m with $S \subseteq T$ and such that for every nonempty $F \subseteq S$ we have*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in F} A_t\Big) \geqslant \theta(k, \varepsilon, |F|). \tag{1.3}$$

Of course, the main point is that, for fixed parameters k and ε, the lower bound on the joint probability of the events $\{A_t : t \in F\}$ given in (1.3) depends only on the cardinality of the set F and not on the dimension of the Carlson–Simpson tree S. The argument is effective and yields explicit estimates for the constants $\theta(k, \varepsilon, n)$. These estimates are admittedly rather weak and it is an important problem to obtain “civilized” bounds. We point out, however, that if we restrict our attention to a certain class of subsets of Carlson–Simpson trees, then we get optimal lower bounds. This is the content of §10 and §11 in the main text. One of the consequences of our analysis is that the constant $\theta(k, \varepsilon, 2)$ can be chosen to be $\varepsilon^2 - o(1)$, an estimate which is clearly sharp.

1.3. On the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The first basic ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the density version of the Carlson–Simpson Theorem established, recently, in [7]. The second one is a refinement – of independent interest – of a partition result due to H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson.

Before we state this refinement, let us start with a brief motivating discussion. Suppose that we color the set of all pairs of, say, $[2]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Is it then possible to find a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[2]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of large dimension all of whose pairs are of the same color? This natural Ramsey-type problem is easily seen to have a negative answer. Indeed, color red all pairs which are of the form $\{w(1)^\frown u(2), w(2)^\frown u(1)\}$ where w and u are variable words over 2; color the remaining pairs blue. Clearly, every Carlson–Simpson tree of $[2]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least 2 contains pairs of both colors.

In spite of the existence of pathological colorings, there is non-trivial information on the aforementioned problem. The central idea – which has proven to be highly valuable in related parts of Ramsey Theory; see, e.g., [2, 12] – is to categorize all pairs of $[2]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ (and, more generally, all subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of a given cardinality) in a list of classes each of which has the Ramsey property. This can be done with the help of the notion of type[1], introduced in [10, §2], which we are about to recall.

Let $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $q \geqslant 1$. Also let $\mathcal{L}$ be a set of cardinality q which is disjoint from $[k]$ and denote by $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ the set of all words over $[k] \cup \mathcal{L}$, that is, all finite sequence having values in $[k] \cup \mathcal{L}$. A *type* of $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ is a nonempty finite sequence in $\mathcal{L}$ having no consecutive multiple appearances of the same letter. For instance, if $\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$, then $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1)$ is a type but $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is not. For every $w \in \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}) \setminus [k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we assign its type as follows. First we erase all letters of w which belong to $[k]$, then we shorten the runs of the same letters of $\mathcal{L}$ to singletons and, finally, we push everything back together. For example, the type of $(1, \lambda_1, 2, \lambda_1, 5, \lambda_2, 7, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, 8, \lambda_3)$ is the word $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_3)$.

Now let n be a positive integer and $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1})$ be a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. Also let $v = (a_0, ..., a_{m-1}) \in \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ be a word of length at most n. We set

$$\mathbf{w}(v) = w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown w_1(a_1)^\frown ...^\frown w_{m-1}(a_{m-1}) \tag{1.4}$$

with the convention that $\mathbf{w}(v) = w$ if v is the empty word. Finally let

$$\mathbf{w}(k, \mathcal{L}) = \big\{\mathbf{w}(v) : v \in \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}) \text{ is of length at most } n\big\}. \tag{1.5}$$

We are ready to state the second main result of the paper. It is a refinement of [10, Theorem 2.7].

Theorem 1.3. *Let $k, q, d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $q, d, r \geqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$ and $\mathcal{L}$ is a set of cardinality q which is disjoint from $[k]$, then for every Carlson–Simpson sequence $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1})$ over k and every r-coloring of $\mathbf{w}(k, \mathcal{L})$ there exists a Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{v} = (v, v_0, ..., v_{d-1})$ of $\mathbf{w}$ such that for every type τ of $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ the set of all words in $\mathbf{v}(k, \mathcal{L})$ of type τ is either empty or monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by* $\mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r)$.

Moreover, there exists a primitive recursive function $\varphi : \mathbb{N}^4 \to \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that

$$\mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r) \leqslant \varphi(k, q, d, r) \tag{1.6}$$

for every $k, q, d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $q, d, r \geqslant 1$.

We remark that a Carlson–Simpson subsequence of $\mathbf{w}$ is just a Carlson–Simpson sequence $\mathbf{v}$ which is obtained by successively "blocking" consecutive members of $\mathbf{w}$ (the precise definition is given in §2.3).

---

[1] We remark that the term "type" is not used in [10]. Actually, this notion is not named at all neither in [10], nor in other places in the literature.

1.4. **Structure of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In §2 we set up our notation and terminology and we gather some background material needed in the rest of the paper. In §3 we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. As we have already pointed out, Theorem 1.3 is the main tool for the analysis of the Ramsey properties of various families of subsets of Carlson–Simpson trees. This analysis is of independent interest and is carried out in §4, §5 and §6. The reader will find in §4.1 a discussion on the content of these sections.

The next three sections are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2. The main bulk of the argument is contained in §7 and is heavily based on the material developed in the previous sections. The last step is given in §8. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is then completed in §9. In the next two sections, §10 and §11, we discuss quantitative refinements of Theorem 1.2. Finally, in §12 we make some comments.

The paper ends with two appendices. They contain some results needed for the proof of Theorem 1.3. While most of these results are well-known, they are presented in some detail for the benefit of the reader and for completeness. Emphasis is given on quantitative aspects of proofs.

2. Background material

By $\mathbb{N}=\{0,1,2,...\}$ we shall denote the natural numbers. For every integer $n\geqslant 1$ we set $[n]=\{1,...,n\}$. If X is a nonempty finite set, then by $\mathbb{E}_{x\in X}$ we shall denote the average $\frac{1}{|X|}\sum_{x\in X}$ where $|X|$ stands for the cardinality of X. For every function $f:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ and every $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ by $f^{(\ell)}:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$ we shall denote the ℓ-th iteration of f defined recursively by $f^{(0)}(n)=n$ and $f^{(\ell+1)}(n)=f\big(f^{(\ell)}(n)\big)$ for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

2.1. **Words over a finite alphabet.** Let A be a *finite alphabet*, i.e., a nonempty finite set. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$ let A^n be the set of all sequences of length n having values in A. Precisely, A^0 contains just the empty sequence while if $n\geqslant 1$, then

$$A^n=\big\{(a_0,...,a_{n-1}):a_i\in A \text{ for every } i\in\{0,...,n-1\}\big\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Also let

$$A^{<n+1}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n}A^i \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$A^{<\mathbb{N}}=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}A^n. \tag{2.3}$$

The elements of $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ are called *words over* A, or simply *words* if A is understood. The *length* of a word w over A, denoted by $|w|$, is defined to be the unique natural number n such that $w\in A^n$. For every $i\in\mathbb{N}$ with $i\leqslant|w|$ by $w|i$ we shall denote the word of length i which is an initial segment of w. More generally, if W is a nonempty subset of $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ such that for every $w\in W$ we have $|w|\geqslant i$, then we set

$$W|i=\{w|i:w\in W\}. \tag{2.4}$$

The concatenation of two words w_1 and w_2 over A will be denoted by $w_1^\frown w_2$. Moreover, for every pair W_1 and W_2 of nonempty subsets of $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we set

$$W_1^\frown W_2 = \{w_1^\frown w_2 : w_1 \in W_1 \text{ and } w_2 \in W_2\}. \tag{2.5}$$

If w_1 and w_2 are two words over A, then their *infimum* is the greatest common initial segment of w_1 and w_2. It will be denoted by $w_1 \wedge w_2$. The infimum operation can be naturally extended to nonempty subsets of words. Specifically, let W be a nonempty subset of $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$. The *infimum* of W, denoted by $\wedge W$, is the word over A of greatest length which is an initial segment of every $w \in W$. Notice that $w_1 \wedge w_2 = \wedge\{w_1, w_2\}$ for every $w_1, w_2 \in A^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Finally let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. A special case – which is, nevertheless, of particular importance – of an alphabet of cardinality k is the set $[k]$. The elements of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ will be called *words over k*. Of course, if A is another alphabet with $|A| = k$, then the sets $A^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ are naturally "isomorphic". We need to consider words over arbitrary alphabets for reasons that will become clear in the sequel.

2.2. **Variable words.** Let A be a finite alphabet and n be a positive integer. Fix a set $\{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ of distinct letters which is disjoint from A. We view the set $\{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ as a set of variables. An *n-variable word over A* is a finite sequence having values in $A \cup \{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ such that: (a) for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ the letter x_i appears at least once, and (b) if $n \geqslant 2$, then for every $i, j \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ with $i < j$ all occurrences of x_i precede all occurrences of x_j. If A is understood, then n-variable words over A will be referred to simply as *n-variable words* while 1-variable words over A will be referred to as *variable words*. A *left variable word* is a variable word whose leftmost letter is the variable x.

Remark 2.1. The concept of an n-variable word over A is closely related to the notion of an n-parameter word over A introduced by R. L. Graham and B. L. Rothschild in [13]. Indeed, recall that an n-parameter word over A is also a finite sequence having values in $A \cup \{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ satisfying condition (a) above and such that: (b′) if $n \geqslant 2$, then for every $i, j \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ with $i < j$ the first occurrence of x_i precedes the first occurrence of x_j. In particular, every n-variable word is an n-parameter word. Of course, when "$n = 1$" the two notions coincide.

As above, let A be a finite alphabet. For every n-variable word w over A and every $\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1} \in A \cup \{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ by $w(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1})$ we shall denote the unique word over $[k] \cup \{x_0, ..., x_{n-1}\}$ obtained by substituting in w all appearances of the letter x_i with β_i for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. Notice that $(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1}) \in A^n$ if and only if $w(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1})$ is a word over A. More generally, if $m \in [n]$, then $(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1})$ is an m-variable word if and only if $w(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1})$ is an m-variable word. An m-variable word of the form $w(\beta_0, ..., \beta_{n-1})$ will be called an m-variable *subword* of w.

We recall some basic combinatorial results concerning words. The first one is due to A. H. Hales and R. I. Jewett [15].

Theorem 2.1. *For every $k, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $r \geqslant 1$ there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every alphabet A with $|A| = k$ and every r-coloring of A^n there exists a variable word w of length n such that the set $\{w(a) : a \in A\}$ is monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by* $\mathrm{HJ}(k, r)$.

The Hales–Jewett Theorem is one of the cornerstones of modern Ramsey Theory. The best known upper bounds for the numbers $\mathrm{HJ}(k, r)$ are due to S. Shelah. Specifically, by [23, Theorem 1.5], there is a primitive recursive function $\phi : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^5$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that for every integer $k \geqslant 2$ and every integer $r \geqslant 1$ we have that $\mathrm{HJ}(k, r) \leqslant \phi(k, r)$.

We will also need the following theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Let k, d, m, r be positive integers with $k \geqslant 2$ and $d \geqslant m$. Then there exists a positive integer N with following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every alphabet A with $|A| = k$ and every r-coloring of the set of all m-variable words over A of length n, there exists a d-variable word w over A of length n such that all m-variable subwords of w are monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by* $\mathrm{GR}(k, d, m, r)$.

Theorem 2.2 is a variant of the Graham–Rothschild Theorem [13] which refers to m-parameter words instead of m-variable words. It follows from the work of S. Shelah – see [23, page 687] – that the original "Graham–Rothschild numbers" are bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy. On the other hand there are several detailed expositions as well as infinite extensions of Theorem 2.2 – see, e.g., [1, 10, 17]. While it is possible to extract explicit upper bounds for the numbers $\mathrm{GR}(k, d, m, r)$ following known arguments, such a statement is not available in the literature. For completeness we carry out such an analysis in Appendix A.

2.3. **Carlson–Simpson sequences.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. As we have already mentioned in Definition 1.1, a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k is a nonempty finite sequence of the form

$$\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1}) \tag{2.6}$$

where n is a positive integer, w is a word over k and $w_0, ..., w_{n-1}$ are left variable words over k. Of course, Carlson–Simpson sequences over k are in one-to-one correspondence with Carlson–Simpson trees of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. In several cases, however, it is very convenient to work with Carlson–Simpson sequences, and as such, we find it appropriate to explicitly isolate this concept.

Let $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1})$ be a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. The integer n will be called the *dimension* of $\mathbf{w}$ and will be denoted by $\dim(\mathbf{w})$. Also let $m \in [n]$. A Carlson–Simpson sequence $\mathbf{v} = (v, v_0, ..., v_{m-1})$ over k will be called an *m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence* of $\mathbf{w}$ if there exist a sequence $(a_i)_{i=0}^{n-1}$ in $[k] \cup \{x\}$ and a strictly increasing sequence $(n_i)_{i=0}^{m}$ in $\{0, ..., n\}$ such that the following conditions are satisfied.

(C1) For every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ we have $a_{n_i} = x$.

(C2) If $n_0 = 0$, then $v = w$. Otherwise, we have that $a_0, ..., a_{n_0-1} \in [k]$ and

$$v = w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_0-1}(a_{n_0-1}). \tag{2.7}$$

(C3) For every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ we have

$$v_i(x) = w_{n_i}(a_{n_i})^\frown w_{n_i+1}(a_{n_i+1})^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_{i+1}-1}(a_{n_{i+1}-1}). \tag{2.8}$$

The set of all m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequences of $\mathbf{w}$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})$.

We will need the following theorem. It is a reformulation of [7, Theorem 4.1].

Theorem 2.3. *Let k, d, m, r be positive integers with $k \geqslant 2$ and $d \geqslant m$. Then there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson sequence $\mathbf{w}$ over k and every r-coloring of $\mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})$ there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})$ such that the set $\mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{v})$ is monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by $\mathrm{CS}(k, d, m, r)$.*

The proof of Theorem 2.3 given in [7] yields upper bounds for the numbers $\mathrm{CS}(k, d, m, r)$ which are expressed in terms of the numbers $\mathrm{GR}(k, d, m, r)$. We shall present a different proof in Appendix B which makes this dependence more transparent. The argument, combined with the results in Appendix A, yields in particular that the numbers $\mathrm{CS}(k, d, m, r)$ are bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy.

2.4. **Carlson–Simpson trees.** Recall that a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ is a set of the form

$$\{w\} \cup \big\{w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_n(a_n) : n \in \{0, ..., m-1\} \text{ and } a_0, ..., a_n \in [k]\big\} \tag{2.9}$$

where $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ is a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. Observe that the Carlson–Simpson sequence $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ that generates a Carlson–Simpson tree W via formula (2.9) is unique. It will be called the *generating sequence* of W. Also recall that the corresponding natural number m is called the *dimension* of W and is denoted by $\dim(W)$. The 1-dimensional Carlson–Simpson trees will be called *Carlson–Simpson lines.*

Let W be an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ be its generating sequence. For every $n \in [m]$ the *n-level* $W(n)$ of W is defined by

$$W(n) = \big\{w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{n-1}(a_{n-1}) : a_0, ..., a_{n-1} \in [k]\big\}. \tag{2.10}$$

The 0-*level* $W(0)$ of W is defined to be the singleton $\{w\}$.

For every m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and every $\ell \in [m]$ by $\mathrm{Subtr}_\ell(W)$ we shall denote the set of all ℓ-dimensional Carlson–Simpson trees of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ which are contained in W. An element of $\mathrm{Subtr}_\ell(W)$ will be called an *ℓ-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree* of W. The set $\mathrm{Subtr}_\ell(W)$ is in one-to-one correspondence with the set $\mathrm{Subseq}_\ell(\mathbf{w})$ where $\mathbf{w}$ stands for the generating sequence of W. Indeed, notice that for every Carlson–Simpson tree V of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ generated by the sequence $\mathbf{v}$ we have that $V \in \mathrm{Subtr}_\ell(W)$ if and only if $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_\ell(\mathbf{w})$.

A natural example of a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension m is the set $[k]^{<m+1}$. Actually, every Carlson–Simpson tree of dimension m can be thought of as a "copy" of $[k]^{<m+1}$ inside $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Specifically, let W be an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $(w, w_0, ..., w_{m-1})$ be its generating sequence. The *canonical isomorphism* associated to W is the bijection $\mathrm{I}_W : [k]^{<m+1} \to W$ defined by $\mathrm{I}_W(\varnothing) = w$ and

$$\mathrm{I}_W\big((a_0, ..., a_{n-1})\big) = w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{n-1}(a_{n-1}) \tag{2.11}$$

for every $n \in [m]$ and every $(a_0, ..., a_{n-1}) \in [k]^n$. The canonical isomorphism I_W preserves all structural properties one is interested in while working in the category of Carlson–Simpson trees. Precisely, we have the following.

Fact 2.4. *Let W be an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following are satisfied.*

(a) *If $\ell \in [m]$ and V is a Carlson–Simpson subtree of $[k]^{<m+1}$ of dimension ℓ, then its image $\mathrm{I}_W(V)$ under the canonical isomorphism is an ℓ-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree of W.*

(b) *For every nonempty subset F of $[k]^{<m+1}$ we have $\mathrm{I}_W(\wedge F) = \wedge \mathrm{I}_W(F)$. In particular, Carlson–Simpson trees preserve infima.*

By Fact 2.4, for most practical purposes we may identify an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree W with $[k]^{<m+1}$ via the canonical isomorphism I_W.

We close this subsection with the following consequence of Theorem 2.3.

Lemma 2.5. *Let k, d, r be positive integers with $k \geqslant 2$. If W is a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with*

$$\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{CS}(k, d+1, 1, r), \tag{2.12}$$

then for every r-coloring of W there exists $V \in \mathrm{Subtr}_d(W)$ which is monochromatic.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the generating sequence of W and fix an r-coloring $c : W \to [r]$. Define $\tilde{c} : \mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{w}) \to [r]$ by the rule

$$\widetilde{c}\big((v, v_0)\big) = c(v) \tag{2.13}$$

for every $(v, v_0) \in \mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{w})$. Invoking Theorem 2.3 and (2.12), we may select $\mathbf{v} = (v, v_0, ..., v_d) \in \mathrm{Subseq}_{d+1}(\mathbf{w})$ such that the set $\mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v})$ is monochromatic with respect to the coloring $\tilde{c}$. Let V be the d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree generated by the sequence $(v, v_0, ..., v_{d-1})$. It is easy to see that V is as desired. $\square$

2.5. **Probabilistic preliminaries.** We recall the definition of a class of probability measures on $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ introduced by H. Furstenberg and B. Weiss in [11]. Specifically, let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m \geqslant 1$. The *Furstenberg–Weiss measure* $\mathrm{d}^m_{\mathrm{FW}}$ associated to $[k]^{<m+1}$ is the probability measure on $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\mathrm{d}^m_{\mathrm{FW}}(A) = \mathbb{E}_{n\in\{0,\dots,m\}} \frac{A \cap [k]^n}{k^n}. \tag{2.14}$$

We will also need the following standard lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $0 < \vartheta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant (\varepsilon^2 - \vartheta^2)^{-1}$. If $(A_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_i) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $i \in [n]$, then there exist $i, j \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$ such that $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) \geqslant \vartheta^2$.*

Proof. We set $X = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ where $\mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ is the indicator function of the event A_i for every $i \in [n]$. Then $\mathbb{E}[X] \geqslant \varepsilon n$ so, by convexity,

$$\sum_{i\in[n]} \sum_{j\in[n]\setminus\{i\}} \mu(A_i \cap A_j) = \mathbb{E}[X(X-1)] \geqslant \varepsilon n(\varepsilon n - 1). \tag{2.15}$$

Therefore, there exist $i, j \in [n]$ with $i \neq j$ such that $\mu(A_i \cap A_j) \geqslant \theta^2$. $\square$

2.6. **The density Carlson–Simpson Theorem.** We will need the following result (see [7, Theorem B]).

Theorem 2.7. *For every integer $k \geqslant 2$, every integer $m \geqslant 1$ and every $0 < \delta \leqslant 1$ there exists an integer N with the following property. If L is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ of cardinality at least N and A is a set of words over k satisfying $|A \cap [k]^n| \geqslant \delta k^n$ for every $n \in L$, then there exists a Carlson–Simpson sequence $(w, w_0, ...w_{m-1})$ over k such that the set*

$$\{w\} \cup \big\{ w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_n(a_n) : n \in \{0, ..., m-1\} \text{ and } a_0, ..., a_n \in [k] \big\} \tag{2.16}$$

is contained in A. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by $\mathrm{DCS}(k, m, \delta)$.

Theorem 2.7 is the density version of a well-known coloring result due to T. J. Carlson and S. J. Simpson [4]. Also we notice that the argument in [7] is effective and gives explicit upper bounds for the numbers $\mathrm{DCS}(k, m, \delta)$. These upper bounds, however, have an Ackermann-type dependence with respect to k.

The final result of this subsection is a consequence of Theorem 2.7. To state it we need, first, to introduce some numerical invariants. Specifically, for every integer $k \geqslant 2$ and every $0 < \delta \leqslant 1$ we set

$$\Lambda = \Lambda(k, \delta) = \lceil 4\delta^{-1} \mathrm{DCS}(k, 1, \delta/4) \rceil \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\eta(k,\delta)=\frac{\delta}{2|\mathrm{Subtr}_1([k]^{<\Lambda})|}. \tag{2.18}$$

We have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.8. *Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $0<\delta\leqslant 1$, and define $\Lambda=\Lambda(k,\delta)$ as in* (2.17)*. Also let $\{A_t : t\in[k]^{<\Lambda}\}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_t)\geqslant\delta$ for every $t\in[k]^{<\Lambda}$. Then there exists a Carlson–Simpson line S of $[k]^{<\Lambda}$ such that*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in S} A_t\Big)\geqslant\eta(k,\delta) \tag{2.19}$$

where $\eta(k,\delta)$ is as in (2.18).

We remark that Lemma 2.8 follows from [7, Lemma 7.9]. It is based on an argument that can be traced in an old paper of P. Erdős and A. Hajnal [8]. For the convenience of the reader we include the proof.

Proof of Lemma 2.8. Let $\mathrm{d}^{\Lambda-1}_{\mathrm{FW}}$ be the Furstenberg–Weiss measure associated to $[k]^{<\Lambda}$ and set

$$Y=\Big\{\omega\in\Omega : \mathrm{d}^{\Lambda-1}_{\mathrm{FW}}\big(\{t\in[k]^{<\Lambda} : \omega\in A_t\}\big)\geqslant\delta/2\Big\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Since $\mu(A_t)\geqslant\delta$ for every $t\in[k]^{<\Lambda}$ we have $\mu(Y)\geqslant\delta/2$.

Let $\omega\in Y$ be arbitrary and set $A_\omega=\{t\in[k]^{<\Lambda} : \omega\in A_t\}$. Observe that

$$\Big|\Big\{n\in\{0,...,\Lambda-1\} : \frac{|A_\omega\cap[k]^n|}{k^n}\geqslant\delta/4\Big\}\Big|\geqslant(\delta/4)\Lambda \overset{(2.17)}{\geqslant} \mathrm{DCS}(k,1,\delta/4). \tag{2.21}$$

By Theorem 2.7, there exists a Carlson–Simpson line S_ω of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $S_\omega\subseteq A_\omega$. In particular, we have $S_\omega\subseteq[k]^{<\Lambda}$ and

$$\omega\in\bigcap_{t\in S_\omega}A_t. \tag{2.22}$$

By the classical pigeonhole principle, there exist $Z\in\Sigma$ and a Carlson–Simpson line S of $[k]^{<\Lambda}$ such that $S_\omega=S$ for every $\omega\in Z$ and

$$\mu(Z)\geqslant\frac{\mu(Y)}{|\mathrm{Subtr}_1([k]^{<\Lambda})|}\geqslant\frac{\delta/2}{|\mathrm{Subtr}_1([k]^{<\Lambda})|}\overset{(2.18)}{=}\eta(k,\delta). \tag{2.23}$$

Hence,

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in S}A_t\Big)\geqslant\mu(Z)\overset{(2.23)}{\geqslant}\eta(k,\delta) \tag{2.24}$$

and the proof is completed. □

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3 stated in the introduction. It is organized as follows. In §3.1 we introduce some pieces of notation and isolate some basic properties of types, while in §3.2 we gather some preliminary tools. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed in §3.3.

3.1. **Types: definitions and basic properties.** Let $k,q\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $q\geqslant 1$. Also let $\mathcal{L}$ be an alphabet of cardinality q which is disjoint from $[k]$ and denote by $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ the set of all words over $[k]\cup\mathcal{L}$. Recall that a *type* of $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ is a nonempty word over $\mathcal{L}$ having no consecutive multiple appearances of the same letter. The set of all types of $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$. Also recall that for every $w\in\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})\setminus[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ its type is defined as follows. First we erase all letters of w which belong to $[k]$, then we shorten the runs of the same letters of $\mathcal{L}$ to singletons and, finally, we push everything back together. For every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ by $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$ we shall denote the set of all $w\in\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ of type τ. Hence,

$$\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})\setminus[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}=\bigcup_{\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})}\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau). \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathbf{w}=(w,w_0,...,w_{n-1})$ be an n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. For every $v=(a_0,...,a_{m-1})\in\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ of length at most n we set

$$\mathbf{w}(v)=w^\frown w_0(a_0)^\frown w_1(a_1)^\frown...^\frown w_{m-1}(a_{m-1}) \tag{3.2}$$

with the convention that $\mathbf{w}(v)=w$ if v is the empty word. We define

$$\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})=\big\{\mathbf{w}(v):v\in\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})\text{ of length at most }\dim(\mathbf{w})\big\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, for every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ we set

$$\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)=\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})\cap\ \mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau). \tag{3.4}$$

We will need the following elementary fact.

Fact 3.1. *Let $\mathbf{w}$ be an n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson sequence over k.*

(a) *For every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ the set $\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$ is nonempty if and only if $|\tau|\leqslant n$. Moreover, if W is the Carlson–Simpson tree generated by $\mathbf{w}$, then*

$$\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})\setminus W=\bigcup_{\{\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}):|\tau|\leqslant n\}}\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau). \tag{3.5}$$

(b) *If $\mathbf{v}$ is a Carlson–Simpson subsequence of $\mathbf{w}$, then*

$$\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L})\subseteq\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L}). \tag{3.6}$$

Moreover, for every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ we have

$$\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)\subseteq\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau). \tag{3.7}$$

3.2. **Preliminary tools.** We have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $k,n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant 1$. Also let $\mathcal{L}$ be a finite alphabet which is disjoint from $[k]$ and $\mathbf{w}$ be a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k of dimension n. Then for every $m \in [n]$ and every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ with $|\tau| = m$ we have*

$$\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau) = \big\{\mathbf{z}(\tau) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})\big\}. \tag{3.8}$$

Proof. Write $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1})$ and fix $m \in [n]$ and a type $\tau = (\lambda_0, ..., \lambda_{m-1})$. By (3.2), we see that $\mathbf{z}(\tau)$ is of type τ for every $\mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})$. Conversely, let $v = (a_0, ..., a_{\ell-1}) \in \mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L})$ such that $\mathbf{w}(v) \in \mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$. We need to find an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{z}$ of $\mathbf{w}$ such that $\mathbf{z}(\tau) = \mathbf{w}(v)$. Observe that the words v and $\mathbf{w}(v)$ are of the same type, and therefore, v is of type τ. For every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ we set

$$n_i = \min\big\{j \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\} : a_j = \lambda_i\big\}. \tag{3.9}$$

Notice that $0 \leqslant n_0 < ... < n_{m-1} < \ell \leqslant n$. For every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ we set $a'_i = a_i$ if $a_i \in [k]$; otherwise we set $a'_i = x$. We define an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{z} = (z, z_0, ..., z_{m-1})$ of $\mathbf{w}$ as follows. First we set

$$z = w^\frown w_0(a'_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_0-1}(a'_{n_0-1}) \tag{3.10}$$

with the convention that $z = w$ if $n_0 = 0$. Next for every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ let

$$z_i = w_{n_i}(a'_{n_i})^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_{i+1}-1}(a'_{n_{i+1}-1}). \tag{3.11}$$

It is easily checked that $\mathbf{z}$ is an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence of $\mathbf{w}$ and satisfies $\mathbf{z}(\tau) = \mathbf{w}(v)$. This shows that $\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau) \subseteq \{\mathbf{z}(\tau) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})\}$ and the proof is completed. □

Lemma 3.2 has the following consequence. It will enable us to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.3 to Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 3.3. *Let k and $\mathcal{L}$ be as in Lemma 3.2. Also let d be a positive integer and $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{2d-1})$ be a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k of dimension $2d$. Then, setting $\mathbf{w}' = (w, w_0, ..., w_{d-1})$, for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ of length at most d we have*

$$\mathbf{w}'(k,\mathcal{L},\tau) \subseteq \big\{\mathbf{z}(\tau) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})\big\}. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. We start with two elementary observations. First notice that if $\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{z}'$ are Carlson–Simpson sequences over k with $\mathbf{z}'$ an initial segment of $\mathbf{z}$, then for every $v \in W(k,\mathcal{L})$ of length at most $\dim(\mathbf{z}')$ we have $\mathbf{z}(v) = \mathbf{z}'(v)$. Next observe that for every Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{z}'$ of $\mathbf{w}'$ there exists a (not necessarily unique) Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{z}$ of $\mathbf{w}$ with $\dim(\mathbf{z}) = d$ and such that $\mathbf{z}'$ is initial segment of $\mathbf{z}$.

Now fix a type τ of length at most d and set $m = |t|$. By Lemma 3.2 and using the previous remarks, we see that

$$\mathbf{w}'(k, \mathcal{L}, \tau) = \big\{\mathbf{z}'(\tau) : \mathbf{z}' \in \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w})\big\} \subseteq \big\{\mathbf{z}(\tau) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})\big\} \tag{3.13}$$

as desired. $\square$

3.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.3.** We claim that

$$\mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r) \leqslant \mathrm{CS}\big(k, 2d, d, r^{q^{d+1}}\big) \tag{3.14}$$

for every $k, q, d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $q, d, r \geqslant 1$. Indeed, let $\mathcal{L}$ be an alphabet of cardinality q which is disjoint from $[k]$. Also let $\mathbf{w}$ be a Carlson–Simpson sequence over k with $\dim(\mathbf{w}) \geqslant \mathrm{CS}\big(k, 2d, d, r^{q^{d+1}}\big)$ and fix an r-coloring $c : \mathbf{w}(k, \mathcal{L}) \to [r]$. We set $T = \{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}) : |\tau| \leqslant d\}$. We define a coloring $\tilde{c} : \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w}) \to [r]^T$ by

$$\tilde{c}(\mathbf{z}) = \big\langle c\big(\mathbf{z}(\tau)\big) : \tau \in T\big\rangle. \tag{3.15}$$

By Fact 3.1, the coloring $\tilde{c}$ is well-defined. Also notice that

$$|T| \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{d} q^i \leqslant q^{d+1}. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.3, there exists a Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{u}$ of $\mathbf{w}$ of dimension $2d$ such that the set $\mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{u})$ is monochromatic with respect to $\tilde{c}$. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the unique Carlson–Simpson sequence over k of dimension d which is an initial segment of $\mathbf{u}$. Notice, in particular, that $\mathbf{v}$ is a Carlson–Simpson subsequence of $\mathbf{w}$. Fix a type τ. If $\tau \notin T$, then by Fact 3.1 the set $\mathbf{v}(k, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ is empty. Otherwise, by Corollary 3.3 and the choice of $\mathbf{u}$, the set $\mathbf{v}(k, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ is monochromatic. This shows that (3.14) is satisfied.

Finally, by Corollary B.2 and taking into account this estimate, we see that the numbers $\mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r)$ are bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$. The proof of Theorem 1.3 is thus completed.

4. FLAT SETS

4.1. **Motivation.** As we have mentioned in the introduction, there is no analogue of Ramsey's classical Theorem for colorings of pairs of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ (or, more generally, of subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of a given cardinality). Nevertheless, there is non-trivial information on this Ramsey-type problem. The relevant tools will be developed in this and the next two sections. Specifically, we shall define certain classes of finite subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with the following crucial properties. Firstly, each class is partition regular. Secondly, their union is sufficiently "dense" in the sense that for every nonempty finite subset F of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ one can find an element of one of the classes that contains F and whose cardinality is effectively controlled by the cardinality of F.

In this section we make the first step towards this goal. In particular, we define the family of *flat* sets which are the building blocks of the elements of the classes mentioned above. Their properties are discussed in §4.3 and §4.4.

4.2. **Definition.** Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. A finite subset F of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ will be called *flat* provided that (a) $|F| \geqslant 2$, and (b) there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $F \subseteq [k]^n$. By $\mathrm{Fl}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ we shall denote the set of all flat subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. If $p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \geqslant 2$, then $\mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ stands for the family of all flat sets of cardinality p. Moreover, for every Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ by $\mathrm{Fl}(W)$ we shall denote the set of all flat subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ which are contained in W. The set $\mathrm{Fl}_p(W)$ is analogously defined. Notice, in particular, that $\mathrm{Fl}_p(W) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $2 \leqslant p \leqslant k^{\dim(W)}$. Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Fl}(W) = \bigcup_{p=2}^{k^{\dim(W)}} \mathrm{Fl}_p(W). \tag{4.1}$$

4.3. **Word representation of flat sets and their type.** Let k and p be a pair of integers with $k, p \geqslant 2$. These parameters will be fixed throughout this subsection. We set

$$\Delta([k]^p) = \big\{(\underbrace{a, ..., a}_{p-\text{times}}) : a \in [k]\big\} \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_p = [k]^p \setminus \Delta([k]^p). \tag{4.3}$$

As in §3.1, let $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}_p)$ be the set of all words over $[k] \cup \mathcal{L}_p$. We shall define a map

$$\mathrm{R}_p : \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}_p) \tag{4.4}$$

as follows. Let $F \in \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ be arbitrary and write the set F in lexicographical increasing order as $\{t_0 <_{\mathrm{lex}} ... <_{\mathrm{lex}} t_{p-1}\}$. Also let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $F \subseteq [k]^n$ and notice that $n \geqslant 1$. For every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ and every $j \in \{0, ..., p-1\}$ let $a_{i,j}$ be the i-th coordinate of t_j and set $\overline{a}_i = (a_{i,0}, ..., a_{i,p-1})$. Observe that $\overline{a}_i \in [k]^p$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. We set

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } \ \overline{a}_i = (\underbrace{a, ..., a}_{p-\text{times}}) \in \Delta([k]^p), \\ \overline{a}_i & \text{if } \ \overline{a}_i \in [k]^p \setminus \Delta([k]^p). \end{cases} \tag{4.5}$$

Finally we define

$$\mathrm{R}_p(F) = (\tilde{a}_0, ..., \tilde{a}_{n-1}). \tag{4.6}$$

We call the word $\mathrm{R}_p(F)$ as the *word representation* of the flat set F.

Before we proceed let us give a specific example. Let $k = 2$ and $p = 4$ and consider the subset F of $[2]^3$ consisting of the elements $(1, 2, 2)$, $(1, 1, 2)$, $(2, 1, 2)$ and $(2, 2, 2)$. We order F lexicographically as

$$F = \big\{(1, 1, 2) <_{\mathrm{lex}} (1, 2, 2) <_{\mathrm{lex}} (2, 1, 2) <_{\mathrm{lex}} (2, 2, 2)\big\} \tag{4.7}$$

and we observe that

$$\overline{a}_0 = (1, 1, 2, 2), \ \overline{a}_1 = (1, 2, 1, 2) \ \text{ and } \ \overline{a}_2 = (2, 2, 2, 2). \tag{4.8}$$

Thus, $\mathrm{R}_4(F)$ is the word $\big((1,1,2,2),(1,2,1,2),2\big) \in \mathrm{W}(2,\mathcal{L}_4)$.

Now let $F \in \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$. We define the *type* of F to be the type of its word representation $\mathrm{R}_p(F)$ in $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L}_p)$. In particular, the type of F is an element of $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$. Notice that for every integer $p' \geqslant 2$ with $p' \neq p$ we have that $\mathcal{L}_{p'} \cap \mathcal{L}_p = \varnothing$, and so, $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{p'}) \cap \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p) = \varnothing$. Therefore, if F and F' are flats sets of different cardinality, then their types are different.

For every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ by $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ we shall denote the set of all $F \in \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ of type τ. It is easy to see that if $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ is nonempty, then $p \leqslant k^{|\tau|}$. Notice, however, that not every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ with $p \leqslant k^{|\tau|}$ is realized as the type of a flat set of cardinality p. This is due to the fact that the type of a flat set F is determined after we have ordered F lexicographically. Taking into account these remarks, we set

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p] = \big\{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p) : \mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \neq \varnothing\big\}. \tag{4.9}$$

Of course, we can relativize the above definitions to Carlson–Simpson trees. Specifically, for every Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ by $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}(W)$ we shall denote the set of all $F \in \mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ which are contained in W. Observe that $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}(W)$ is nonempty if and only if $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$ and $|\tau| \leqslant \dim(W)$.

We summarize, below, some basic properties of the map R_p.

Fact 4.1. *Let $k,p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k,p \geqslant 2$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$. Then the following hold.*

(a) *The map $\mathrm{R}_p : \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L}_p)$ is an injection.*

(b) *The restriction of R_p to $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ is onto $\mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L}_p,\tau)$. In particular, the map $\mathrm{R}_p : \mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k,\mathcal{L}_p,\tau)$ is a bijection.*

Fact 4.1 is a straightforward consequence of the relevant definitions. We will also need the following elementary fact.

Fact 4.2. *Let $k,p \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k,p \geqslant 2$. Also let V be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and denote by $\mathbf{v}$ the Carlson–Simpson sequence that generates V. Finally let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ such that the set $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}(V)$ is nonempty. Then the following are satisfied.*

(a) *Setting $m = \dim(V)$, we have that $\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}(V) = \mathrm{I}_V\big(\mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}([k]^{<m+1})\big)$ where I_V is the canonical isomorphism associated to V.*

(b) *The restriction of R_p to $\mathrm{Fl}_p(V)$ is an injection into $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L}_p)$.*

(c) *The restriction of R_p to $\mathrm{F}_{p,\tau}(V)$ is onto $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L}_p,\tau)$. In particular, the map $\mathrm{R}_p : \mathrm{Fl}_{p,\tau}(V) \to \mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L}_p,\tau)$ is a bijection.*

4.4. **Ramsey properties of flat sets.** We have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $k,d,r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $d,r \geqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and every r-coloring of $\mathrm{Fl}(W)$ there exists a d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W such that every pair of flat subsets of V with the same type is monochromatic.*

Proof. We set

$$q=\sum_{p=2}^{k^d}(k^p-k). \tag{4.10}$$

We will show that the desired positive integer N can be chosen to be the number $\mathrm{FK}(k,q,d,r)$. To this end let $n \geqslant \mathrm{FK}(k,q,d,r)$ be arbitrary. Also let W be an n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and denote by $\mathbf{w}$ its generating sequence. Fix a coloring $c:\mathrm{Fl}(W)\to[r]$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}=\bigcup_{p=2}^{k^d}\mathcal{L}_p. \tag{4.11}$$

By the definition of the finite alphabet $\mathcal{L}_p$ in (4.3) and the choice of q in (4.10), we see that $|\mathcal{L}|=q$. Next let $\mathcal{F}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{Fl}(W)$ defined by

$$F\in\mathcal{F}\Leftrightarrow \text{the length of the type of } F \text{ is at most } d. \tag{4.12}$$

Notice that $2\leqslant |F|\leqslant k^d$ for every $F\in\mathcal{F}$. We define $\mathrm{R}:\mathcal{F}\to\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})$ by the rule $\mathrm{R}(F)=\mathrm{R}_{|F|}(F)$. It is easy to see that the map R is a well-defined injection.

Finally let $\tilde{c}:\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})\to[r]$ be defined by

$$\tilde{c}(w)=\begin{cases} c(F) & \text{if there exists } F\in\mathcal{F} \text{ with } \mathrm{R}(F)=w,\\ r & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

Since $\dim(\mathbf{w})\geqslant \mathrm{FK}(k,q,d,r)$, by Theorem 1.3, there exists $\mathbf{v}\in\mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})$ such that the set $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$ is monochromatic with respect to $\tilde{c}$ for every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ with $|\tau|\leqslant d$. Let V be the Carlson–Simpson tree generated by $\mathbf{v}$. By Fact 4.2, we see that V is as desired. □

5. Basic sets

In this section we continue the analysis outlined in §4.1. We start with the following definition.

Definition 5.1. *A* basic set *of* $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *is a subset of* $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *of the form*

$$B=\{s\}\cup\bigcup_{i=0}^{\ell-1}(s^\frown F_0^\frown\dots{}^\frown F_i) \tag{5.1}$$

where ℓ is a positive integer, s is a word over k and for every $i\in\{0,\dots,\ell-1\}$ the set F_i is a flat subset of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ whose infimum $\wedge F_i$ is the empty word.

Notice that the sequence $(s,F_0,\dots,F_{\ell-1})$ that generates a basic set B via formula (5.1) is unique. It will be called the *generating sequence* of B. The word s will be called the *top* of B, while the positive integer ℓ will be called the *dimension* of B and will be denoted by $\dim(B)$.

Let B be a basic set of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $(s,F_0,\dots,F_{\ell-1})$ be its generating sequence. The *level width sequence* of B, denoted by $\mathbf{p}(B)$, is defined to be the sequence

$(|F_0|, ..., |F_{\ell-1}|)$. The integer $\max_{0\leqslant i\leqslant \ell-1}|F_i|$ will be called the *width* of B and will be denoted by $w(B)$. Finally, the *type* of B is defined to be the sequence $(\tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$ where τ_i is the type of the flat set F_i for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$. It will be denoted by $\mathbf{t}(B)$. Notice the following rigidity property of the type of B: if B' is another basic set with $\mathbf{t}(B')=\mathbf{t}(B)$, then $\dim(B')=\dim(B)$ and $\mathbf{p}(B')=\mathbf{p}(B)$.

By $\mathrm{B}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ we shall denote the set of all basic sets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. The set of all basic sets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ which are contained in a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ will be denoted by $\mathrm{B}(W)$.

Now let ℓ be a positive integer and $\mathbf{p}=(p_0,...,p_{\ell-1})$ be a finite sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ with $p_i\geqslant 2$ for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$. Also let $\mathbf{t}=(\tau_0,...,\tau_{\ell-1})$ be such that $\tau_i\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{p_i})$ for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{p_i}$ is as in (4.3). We set

$$\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})=\big\{B\in\mathrm{B}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}):\dim(B)=\ell, \mathbf{p}(B)=\mathbf{p} \text{ and } \mathbf{t}(B)=\mathbf{t}\big\}. \tag{5.2}$$

For every Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ the set $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(W)$ is analogously defined. Observe that the set $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ is nonempty if and only if $\tau_i\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p_i]$ for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p_i]$ is as in (4.9). Respectively, if W is a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$, then the set $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(W)$ is nonempty if and only if $\tau_i\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p_i]$ for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1}|\tau_i|\leqslant\dim(W)$.

Much of our interest on basic sets stems from the fact that they possess strong structural properties. In particular, we have the following theorem which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $k,d,r\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $d,r\geqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n\geqslant N$, then for every n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and every r-coloring of $\mathrm{B}(W)$ there exists a d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W such that every pair of basic subsets of U with the same type is monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by $\mathrm{Ram}_{\mathrm{B}}(k,d,r)$.*

Moreover, there exists a primitive recursive function $\chi:\mathbb{N}^3\to\mathbb{N}$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that

$$\mathrm{Ram}_{\mathrm{B}}(k,d,r)\leqslant\chi(k,d,r) \tag{5.3}$$

for every $k,d,r\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $d,r\geqslant 1$.

We have already pointed out in Fact 2.4 that Carlson–Simpson trees preserve infima. Also notice that the type of a basic set does not depend on its top. Taking into account these remarks, it is easy to verify that Proposition 4.3 follows from Theorem 5.2. In fact, Theorem 5.2 can be seen as the "higher-dimensional" extension of Proposition 4.3.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. It is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.3. In particular, our strategy is to represent all basic subsets of a given Carlson–Simpson tree as words over an alphabet of the form $[k]\cup\mathcal{L}$ where $\mathcal{L}$ is an appropriately chosen finite set. Once this is done, the result follows by a straightforward application of Theorem 1.3. We proceed to the details.

Fix $k, d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $d, r \geqslant 1$. We set

$$q = d \cdot \sum_{p=2}^{k^d} (k^p - k). \tag{5.4}$$

By Theorem 1.3, it is enough to prove that

$$\mathrm{Ram}_{\mathrm{B}}(k, d, r) \leqslant \mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r). \tag{5.5}$$

To this end let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r)$ and fix a coloring $c : \mathrm{B}(W) \to [r]$. Denote by $\mathbf{w}$ the generating sequence of W.

For every $i \in \{0, ..., d-1\}$ and every $p \in \{2, ..., k^d\}$ let $\mathcal{L}^i_p = \mathcal{L}_p \times \{i\}$. Denote by $\mathrm{i}^i_p : [k] \cup \mathcal{L}_p \to [k] \cup \mathcal{L}^i_p$ the natural bijection defined by $\mathrm{i}^i_p(a) = a$ for every $a \in [k]$ and $\mathrm{i}^i_p(\lambda) = (\lambda, i)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_p$. The map i^i_p lifts to a bijection

$$\mathrm{I}^i_p : \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}_p) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}^i_p) \tag{5.6}$$

defined by $\mathrm{I}^i_p\big((s_0, ..., s_n)\big) = \big(\mathrm{i}^i_p(s_0), ..., \mathrm{i}^i_p(s_n)\big)$. Also let $\mathrm{R}_p : \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}_p)$ be the "representation" map defined in §4.3 and set

$$\mathrm{R}^i_p = \mathrm{I}^i_p \circ \mathrm{R}_p. \tag{5.7}$$

By Fact 4.1, the map $\mathrm{R}^i_p : \mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}^i_p)$ is an injection.

We define

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{d-1} \bigcup_{p=2}^{k^d} \mathcal{L}^i_p \tag{5.8}$$

and we observe that

$$|\mathcal{L}| = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{p=2}^{k^d} |\mathcal{L}^i_p| = d \cdot \sum_{p=2}^{k^d} (k^p - k) = q. \tag{5.9}$$

Also notice that for every $i \in \{0, ..., d-1\}$ and every $p \in \{2, ..., k^d\}$ we have that $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}^i_p) \subseteq \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$. Therefore, the map R^i_p can be seen as an injection from $\mathrm{Fl}_p([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ into $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$.

Now let $\mathcal{B}$ be the subset of $\mathrm{B}(W)$ defined by

$$B \in \mathcal{B} \Leftrightarrow \text{if } \mathbf{t}(B) = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1}), \text{ then } \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} |\tau_i| \leqslant d. \tag{5.10}$$

Observe that for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$ the width $w(B)$ of B is at most k^d. We define a map

$$\mathbf{R} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}) \tag{5.11}$$

by the rule

$$\mathbf{R}(B) = s^\frown \mathrm{R}^0_{p_0}(F_0)^\frown \mathrm{R}^1_{p_1}(F_1)^\frown ...^\frown \mathrm{R}^{\ell-1}_{p_{\ell-1}}(F_{\ell-1}) \tag{5.12}$$

where $(s, F_0, ..., F_{\ell-1})$ is the generating sequence of B and $p_i = |F_i|$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$. The following properties follow easily taking into account the relevant definitions.

(P1) The map $\mathbf{R}$ is an injection into $\mathbf{w}(k, \mathcal{L})$.

(P2) Let $\ell \in \{1, ..., d\}$. Also let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$ where $p_i \in \{2, ..., k^d\}$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_{p_i})$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$. Finally let V be a Carlson–Simpson subtree of W generated by some $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})$. Assume that the set $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V)$ is nonempty and set

$$\tau = \mathrm{I}^0_{p_0}(\tau_0)^\frown ...^\frown \mathrm{I}^{\ell-1}_{p_{\ell-1}}(\tau_{\ell-1}). \tag{5.13}$$

Then $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ and $|\tau| = \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} |\tau_i| \leqslant \dim(V) = d$. Moreover, we have $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and the map $\mathbf{R} : \mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V) \to \mathbf{v}(k, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ is a bijection.

Finally we define $\tilde{c} : \mathbf{w}(k, \mathcal{L}) \to [r]$ by

$$\tilde{c}(w) = \begin{cases} c(B) & \text{if there exists } B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ with } \mathbf{R}(B) = w, \\ r & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{5.14}$$

Notice that, by (P1), the coloring $\tilde{c}$ is well-defined. Since $\dim(\mathbf{w}) \geqslant \mathrm{FK}(k, q, d, r)$, there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_d(\mathbf{w})$ such that the set $\mathbf{v}(k, \mathcal{L}, \tau)$ is monochromatic with respect to $\tilde{c}$ for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ with $|\tau| \leqslant d$. Let V be the d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree of W generated by $\mathbf{v}$. Using property (P2), it is easily verified that V is as desired. The proof is completed. □

6. Embedding subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ into basic sets

Our goal in this section is to complete the analysis outlined in §4.1. In particular, we shall prove the following embedding result.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. Also let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $G \subseteq W$ with $|G| \geqslant 2$. Then there exists a basic set B of dimension and width at most $|G|$, and such that $G \subseteq B \subseteq W$.*

Proof. We may assume that W is of the form $[k]^{<d+1}$ for some integer $d \geqslant 1$. Observe that if G is flat, then we can write G as $s^\frown F$ where $s = \wedge G$ and F is a flat set whose infimum is the empty word. In this case, the set $B = \{s\} \cup (s^\frown F)$ is the desired basic set. Therefore, in what follows, we may additionally assume that G is not flat.

First we set $L = \{n \in \{0, ..., d\} : G \cap [k]^n \neq \varnothing\}$ and $\ell = |L|$. Notice that $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant |G|$. We write the set L in increasing order as $\{n_1 < ... < n_\ell\}$. For every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$ let

$$G_i = G \cap [k]^{n_{i+1}} \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$H_i = G_i \cup \bigcup_{j=i+1}^{\ell-1} G_j | n_{i+1} \tag{6.2}$$

where, as in (2.4), $G_j|n_{i+1} = \{w|n_{i+1} : w \in G_j\}$. Observe that

$$|G_i| \leqslant |H_i| \leqslant \sum_{j=i}^{\ell-1} |G_i| \tag{6.3}$$

for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$. Hence,

(P1) $|H_0| \leqslant |G|$ and
(P2) $\max\{|H_i| : i \in \{1, ..., \ell-1\}\} < |G|$.

Let $i \in \{1, ..., \ell-1\}$ be arbitrary. For every $w \in [k]^{n_{i+1}}$ denote by w^* the unique element of $[k]^{n_{i+1}-n_i}$ such that $w = (w|n_i)^\frown w^*$. We set

$$H_i^* = \{w^* : w \in H_i\} \subseteq [k]^{n_{i+1}-n_i}. \tag{6.4}$$

Notice that

$$|H_i^*| \leqslant |H_i| \overset{\text{(P2)}}{<} |G| \tag{6.5}$$

and

$$G_i \subseteq H_i \subseteq H_0^\frown H_1^{*\frown} ...^\frown H_i^*. \tag{6.6}$$

Next we claim that for every $i \in \{1, ..., \ell-1\}$ there exists a flat set F_i such that

(a) the infimum $\wedge F_i$ of F_i is the empty word,
(b) $H_i^* \subseteq F_i$ and
(c) $|F_i| \leqslant |H_i^*| + 1$.

Indeed, fix $i \in \{1, ..., \ell-1\}$. If $\wedge H_i^*$ is the empty word, then we set $F_i = H_i^*$. Otherwise, the set $H_i^*|1$ is a singleton, and so, we may select $a \in [k]$ with $a \neq H_i^*|1$. Let $\mathbf{a}$ be the unique word of length $n_{i+1} - n_i$ all of whose coordinates are equal to a. We set $F_i = H_i^* \cup \{\mathbf{a}\}$. Clearly F_i is as desired.

We are ready for the final step of the argument. Let $s = \wedge H_0$. Assume, first, that $\{s\} = H_0$. In this case we define

$$B = \{s\} \cup (s^\frown F_1) \cup ... \cup (s^\frown F_1^\frown ...^\frown F_{\ell-1}). \tag{6.7}$$

It is easy to check that B is the desired basic set (in fact, in this case, the dimension of B is at most $|G| - 1$). Otherwise, write H_0 as $s^\frown F_0$ where F_0 is a flat set whose infimum is the empty word. We define B to be the basic set generated by the sequence $(s, F_0, F_1, ..., F_{\ell-1})$. It is also easily verified that B satisfies all requirements. The proof is completed. □

7. Correlation on basic sets: one-dimensional case

7.1. **The main result.** We start by introducing some numerical invariants. Specifically for every $k,p\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k,p\geqslant 2$ and every $0<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$ let

$$q_p=q_p(k)=k^p-k, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\Lambda_p=\Lambda_p(k,\varepsilon)=\Lambda(k^{q_p},\varepsilon^2/4)\overset{(2.17)}{=}\lceil 16\varepsilon^{-2}\mathrm{DCS}(k^{q_p},1,\varepsilon^2/16)\rceil \tag{7.2}$$

and

$$\eta_p(k,\varepsilon)=\eta(k^{q_p},\varepsilon^2/4)\overset{(2.18)}{=}\frac{\varepsilon^2}{8|\mathrm{Subtr}_1([k^{q_p}]^{<\Lambda_p})|}. \tag{7.3}$$

Moreover, if m is a positive integer, then we set

$$\mathrm{Cor}_1^*(k,m,\varepsilon)=\mathrm{CS}\big(k,m\cdot\Lambda_{k^m}(k,\varepsilon),1,2^k\big). \tag{7.4}$$

We are ready to state the main result of this section.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $k,m\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $m\geqslant 1$, and $0<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$. Also let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}_1^*(k,m,\varepsilon)$. Then for every family $\{A_w: w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varepsilon$ for every $w\in W$, every $p\in\{2,...,k^m\}$ and every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$ with $|\tau|\leqslant m$ there exists an one-dimensional basic set $B\subseteq W$ of width p and type τ such that*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B}A_w\Big)\geqslant\eta_p(k,\varepsilon). \tag{7.5}$$

We emphasize that the lower bound in (7.5) is independent of the length of the type τ. Also we remark that Proposition 7.1 is the first (and crucial) step towards the proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof of Proposition 7.1 will be given in §7.2. In §7.3 we isolate some of its consequences.

7.2. **Proof of Proposition 7.1.** First we introduce some terminology. For every pair $v,v'\in[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ we say that v' is a *successor* of v if v is a proper initial segment of v'. Notice, in particular, that if v' is a successor of v, then $|v|<|v'|$. Moreover, it is easy to see that for every Carlson–Simpson tree V of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ generated by the sequence $\mathbf{v}$ and every $v,v'\in V$ we have that v' is a successor of v if and only if there exist $(u,u_0)\in\mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v})$ and $a\in[k]$ such that $v=u$ and $v'=u^\frown u_0(a)$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2. *Let $k\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $0<\vartheta<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$. Also let $N\in\mathbb{N}$ with $N\geqslant(\varepsilon^2-\vartheta^2)^{-1}$. Finally let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree W and assume that*

$$\dim(W)\geqslant\mathrm{CS}\big(k,N,1,2^k\big). \tag{7.6}$$

Then for every family $\{A_w: w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varepsilon$ for every $w\in W$ there exists an N-dimensional

Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W such that for every pair $v, v' \in V$ with v' a successor of v we have

$$\mu(A_v \cap A_{v'}) \geqslant \vartheta^2. \tag{7.7}$$

We notice that Lemma 7.2 is similar to [7, Lemma 7.3]. Also we point out that in Proposition 10.1 we shall obtain a significant extension of this result. However, the argument below can serve as an introduction to the proof of Proposition 10.1, and as such, we decided to present it for the benefit of the reader.

Proof of Lemma 7.2. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the generating sequence of W. For every $a \in [k]$ set

$$\mathcal{F}_a = \big\{(u, u_0) \in \mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{w}) : \mu(A_u \cap A_{u^\frown u_0(a)}) \geqslant \vartheta^2\big\}. \tag{7.8}$$

By Theorem 2.3 and the estimate in (7.6), there exists $\mathbf{v} \in \mathrm{Subseq}_N(\mathbf{w})$ such that for every $a \in [k]$ either $\mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_a$ or $\mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v}) \cap \mathcal{F}_a = \varnothing$. Therefore, it is enough to show that $\mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v}) \cap \mathcal{F}_a \neq \varnothing$ for every $a \in [k]$. To this end fix $a \in [k]$ and write $\mathbf{v}$ as $(v, v_0, ..., v_{N-1})$. For every $i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ we set

$$t_i = v^\frown v_0(a)^\frown ...^\frown v_{i-1}(a). \tag{7.9}$$

Since $N \geqslant (\varepsilon^2 - \vartheta^2)^{-1}$, by Lemma 2.6, there exist $i, j \in [N]$ with $i < j$ and such that $\mu(A_{t_i} \cap A_{t_j}) \geqslant \vartheta^2$. We set

$$u = v^\frown v_0(a)^\frown ...^\frown v_{i-1}(a) \ \text{ and } \ u_0 = v_i^\frown ...^\frown v_{j-1}. \tag{7.10}$$

Observe that $t_i = u$ and $t_j = u^\frown u_0(a)$. Hence $(u, u_0) \in \mathrm{Subseq}_1(\mathbf{v}) \cap \mathcal{F}_a$ and the proof is completed. □

Notice that for every pair q, n of positive integers the sets $([k]^q)^n$ and $([k]^n)^q$ can be naturally identified. Therefore, we may view a word over $[k]^q$ as a sequence of length q having values in $[k]^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is, essentially, the content of the following definition.

Definition 7.3. *Let $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k, q \geqslant 2$. For every positive integer n we define the map*

$$\mathrm{I}_{q,n} : ([k]^q)^n \to ([k]^n)^q \tag{7.11}$$

as follows. Fix $t = (\mathbf{a}_0, ..., \mathbf{a}_{n-1}) \in ([k]^q)^n$ and for every $j \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$ and every $i \in \{0, ..., q-1\}$ let $a_{i,j}$ be the i-th coordinate of $\mathbf{a}_j$. Next for every $i \in \{0, ..., q-1\}$ let $\bar{t}_i = (a_{i,0}, ..., a_{i,n-1})$ and define

$$\mathrm{I}_{q,n}(t) = (\bar{t}_0, ..., \bar{t}_{q-1}). \tag{7.12}$$

Also let

$$\mathrm{I}_q : ([k]^q)^{<\mathbb{N}} \to \{\varnothing\} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} ([k]^n)^q \tag{7.13}$$

be defined by $\mathrm{I}_q(\varnothing) = \varnothing$ and

$$\mathrm{I}_q(t) = \mathrm{I}_{q,|t|}(t) \tag{7.14}$$

for every $t \in ([k]^q)^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $|t| \geqslant 1$.

We will need the following elementary fact.

Fact 7.4. *Let* $k, q \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $k, q \geqslant 2$. *Also let* s *be a variable word over* $[k]^q$. *Then there exists a unique sequence* $(s_0, ..., s_{q-1})$ *such that* s_i *is a variable word over* k *with* $|s_i| = |s|$ *for every* $i \in \{0, ..., q-1\}$ *and satisfying*

$$\mathrm{I}_q\big(s(\mathbf{a})\big) = \big(s_0(a_0), ..., s_{q-1}(a_{q-1})\big) \tag{7.15}$$

for every $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, ..., a_{q-1}) \in [k]^q$. *Moreover, if* s *is a left variable word, then so is* s_i *for every* $i \in \{0, ..., q-1\}$.

We are about to introduce one more definition. To motivate the reader, let us first notice that every word over $[k]^q$ of length n can be represented as a word over k of length qn, just by concatenating its coordinates. We will need, however, a rather different representation. Its main properties are described in Lemma 7.7 below. Specifically, the representation is designed so that the image of every Carlson–Simpson line of $([k]^q)^{<\mathbb{N}}$ contains a basic set of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of a given type τ.

Definition 7.5. *Let* $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $k, p \geqslant 2$. *Define* q_p *and* $\mathcal{L}_p$ *as in* (7.1) *and* (4.3) *respectively. Notice that* $|\mathcal{L}_p| = q_p$. *Write the set* $\mathcal{L}_p$ *in lexicographical increasing order as*

$$\mathcal{L}_p = \{\lambda_0 <_{\mathrm{lex}} ... <_{\mathrm{lex}} \lambda_{q_p - 1}\}. \tag{7.16}$$

Let $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$ *and set* $\ell = |\tau|$. *Consider the unique sequence* $(i_0, ..., i_{\ell-1})$ *in* $\{0, ..., q_p - 1\}$ *such that*

$$\tau = (\lambda_{i_0}, ..., \lambda_{i_{\ell-1}}). \tag{7.17}$$

We define two maps

$$\Phi_{p,\tau} : ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}} \to [k]^{<\mathbb{N}} \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi^0_{p,\tau} : ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}} \to [k]^{<\mathbb{N}} \tag{7.18}$$

as follows. First set $\Phi_{p,\tau}(\varnothing) = \Phi^0_{p,\tau}(\varnothing) = \varnothing$. *Otherwise, let* $t \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $|t| \geqslant 1$ *and write* $\mathrm{I}_{q_p}(t)$ *as* $(\overline{t}_0, ..., \overline{t}_{q_p - 1})$. *We set*

$$\Phi_{p,\tau}(t) = (\overline{t}_{i_0})^\frown ...^\frown (\overline{t}_{i_{\ell-1}}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t) = \overline{t}_{i_0}. \tag{7.19}$$

We isolate, for future use, some properties of the maps $\Phi_{p,\tau}$ and $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}$. All of them are straightforward consequences of the relevant definition.

Fact 7.6. *Let* $k, p \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $k, p \geqslant 2$ *and* $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L}_p)$.

(a) *For every* $t \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *the word* $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)$ *is an initial segment of* $\Phi_{p,\tau}(t)$. *Moreover, if* $|\tau| \geqslant 2$ *and* $|t| \geqslant 1$, *then* $\Phi_{p,\tau}(t)$ *is a successor of* $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)$.

(b) *For every* $t \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *we have* $|\Phi_{p,\tau}(t)| = |t| \cdot |\tau|$.

(c) *For every* $t, s \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ *with* $|t|, |s| \geqslant 1$ *if* $\Phi_{p,\tau}(t) = (\overline{t}_{i_0})^\frown ...^\frown (\overline{t}_{i_{\ell-1}})$ *and* $\Phi_{p,\tau}(s) = (\overline{s}_{i_0})^\frown ...^\frown (\overline{s}_{i_{\ell-1}})$, *then*

$$\Phi_{p,\tau}(t^\frown s) = (\overline{t}_{i_0})^\frown (\overline{s}_{i_0})^\frown ...^\frown (\overline{t}_{i_{\ell-1}})^\frown (\overline{s}_{i_{\ell-1}}). \tag{7.20}$$

We proceed with the following lemma.

Lemma 7.7. *Let $k,p\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k,p\geqslant 2$ and $\tau\in\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$. Also let q_p be as in* (7.1).

(a) *Let s be a variable word over $[k]^{q_p}$ and set $n=|s|$. Then the set*

$$\big\{\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(s(\mathbf{a})\big) : \mathbf{a}\in[k]^{q_p}\big\} \tag{7.21}$$

contains a flat set $F\subseteq[k]^{n\cdot|\tau|}$ of cardinality p and type τ.

(b) *Let t be a word over $[k]^{q_p}$ and s be a left variable word over $[k]^{q_p}$ and set $n=|t|+|s|$. Then the set*

$$\{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)\}\cup\big\{\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(t^\frown s(\mathbf{a})\big) : \mathbf{a}\in[k]^{q_p}\big\} \tag{7.22}$$

contains a basic set $B\subsetneq[k]^{<n\cdot|\tau|+1}$ of dimension 1, width p and type τ. Moreover, the top of B is the word $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)$.

Proof. First we need to do some preparatory work. As in Definition 7.5, write the set $\mathcal{L}_p$ in lexicographical increasing order as $\{\lambda_0<_{\mathrm{lex}}...<_{\mathrm{lex}}\lambda_{q_p-1}\}$. Also let $\ell=|\tau|$ and $(i_0,...,i_{\ell-1})$ be the unique sequence in $\{0,...,q_p-1\}$ such that $\tau=(\lambda_{i_0},...,\lambda_{i_{\ell-1}})$. For every $i\in\{0,...,q_p-1\}$ and every $m\in\{0,...,p-1\}$ let $l_{i,m}\in[k]$ be the m-th coordinate of λ_i. We set

$$\mathbf{b}_m=(l_{0,m},...,l_{q_p-1,m})\in[k]^{q_p} \tag{7.23}$$

for every $m\in\{0,...,p-1\}$.

(a) Let

$$F=\big\{\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(s(\mathbf{b}_m)\big) : 0\leqslant m\leqslant p-1\big\}. \tag{7.24}$$

We claim that F is as desired. Indeed, by Fact 7.6, we have that $F\subseteq[k]^{n\cdot|\tau|}$. Hence F is flat. It is easily seen that the cardinality of F is p. Therefore, it is enough to show that F is of type τ. To this end let $(s_0,...,s_{q_p-1})$ be the sequence of variable words over k obtained by Fact 7.4 for the variable word s. By (7.15) and (7.19), we see that

$$\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(s(\mathbf{b}_m)\big)=s_{i_0}(l_{i_0,m})^\frown...^\frown s_{i_{\ell-1}}(l_{i_{\ell-1},m}) \tag{7.25}$$

for every $m\in\{0,...,p-1\}$. Noticing that $\lambda_{i_j}=\big\langle l_{i_j,m} : m\in\{0,...,p-1\}\big\rangle$ for every $j\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$, by (7.25), we conclude that F has type $(\lambda_{i_0},...,\lambda_{i_{\ell-1}})=\tau$.

(b) We will give the proof under the additional assumption that $|t|\geqslant 1$. If t is the empty word, then the proof is similar (in fact, it is simpler). First let

$$\mathrm{I}_{q_p}(t)=(\bar{t}_0,...,\bar{t}_{q_p-1}) \tag{7.26}$$

and $(s_0,...,s_{q_p-1})$ be the sequence of variable words over k obtained by Fact 7.4 for the left variable word s. Notice, in particular, that s_i is a left variable word for every $i\in\{0,...,q_p-1\}$. Also observe that $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)=\bar{t}_{i_0}$. Moreover, by Fact 7.6 and (7.26), we have

$$\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(t^\frown s(\mathbf{b}_m)\big)=(\bar{t}_{i_0})^\frown s_{i_0}(l_{i_0,m})^\frown...^\frown(\bar{t}_{i_{\ell-1}})^\frown s_{i_{\ell-1}}(l_{i_{\ell-1},m}) \tag{7.27}$$

for every $m \in \{0, ..., \ell - 1\}$. We set

$$F_0 = \big\{ s_{i_0}(l_{i_0,m})^\frown(\overline{t}_{i_1})^\frown ... ^\frown(\overline{t}_{i_{\ell-1}})^\frown s_{i_{\ell-1}}(l_{i_{\ell-1},m}) : 0 \leqslant m \leqslant p-1 \big\}. \tag{7.28}$$

Arguing as in the first part of the proof, we see that F_0 is a flat set which is contained in $[k]^{n\cdot|\tau|-|t|}$ and is of cardinality p and type τ. Since $(s_0, ..., s_{q_p-1})$ consists of left variable words, it is easy to verify that $\wedge F_0$ is the empty word. Therefore, setting

$$B = \{\overline{t}_{i_0}\} \cup \big((\overline{t}_{i_0})^\frown F_0 \big), \tag{7.29}$$

we see that B is as desired. The proof is thus completed. □

The following lemma is the final step towards the proof of Proposition 7.1.

Lemma 7.8. *Let $k, p, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k, p \geqslant 2$ and $\ell \geqslant 1$. Also let $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ and define $\Lambda_p = \Lambda_p(k, \varepsilon)$ as in (7.2). Finally let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and assume that*

$$\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{CS}\big(k, \ell \cdot \Lambda_p, 1, 2^k\big). \tag{7.30}$$

Then for every family $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$ and every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k, p]$ with $|\tau| = \ell$ there exists an one-dimensional basic set $B \subseteq W$ of width p and type τ such that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w \in B} A_w \Big) \geqslant \eta_p(k, \varepsilon) \tag{7.31}$$

where $\eta_p(k, \varepsilon)$ is as in (7.3).

Proof. Notice first that, by Lemma 7.2 applied for "$\vartheta = \varepsilon/2$" and "$d = \ell \cdot \Lambda_p$", there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W with $\dim(V) = \ell \cdot \Lambda_p$ such that

$$\mu(A_v \cap A_{v'}) \geqslant \varepsilon^2/4 \tag{7.32}$$

for every $v, v' \in V$ with v' successor of v.

Next observe that there is a natural bijection between the alphabets $[k]^{q_p}$ and $[k^{q_p}]$. This bijection extends, of course, to a bijection between $([k]^{q_p})^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $[k^{q_p}]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Keeping in mind these remarks, in what follows we will identify words (respectively, left variable words) over $[k]^{q_p}$ with words (respectively, left variable words) over $[k^{q_p}]$. We will also identify V with $[k]^{<\ell\cdot\Lambda_p+1}$ via the canonical isomorphism I_V.

Fix $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k, p]$ with $|\tau| = \ell$. Let q_p be as in (7.1). For every $t \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\Lambda_p}$ set

$$\tilde{A}_t = A_{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)} \cap A_{\Phi_{p,\tau}(t)} \tag{7.33}$$

where $\Phi_{p,\tau}$ and $\Phi^0_{p,\tau}$ are as in Definition 7.5. By Fact 7.6, we have

$$\Phi^0_{p,\tau}\big(([k]^{q_p})^{<\Lambda_p} \big) \subseteq [k]^{<\ell\cdot\Lambda_p+1} \ \text{ and } \ \Phi_{p,\tau}\big(([k]^{q_p})^{<\Lambda_p} \big) \subseteq [k]^{<\ell\cdot\Lambda_p+1}. \tag{7.34}$$

Hence $\tilde{A}_t$ is well-defined. Invoking Fact 7.6 once again and the estimate in (7.32), we see that $\mu(\tilde{A}_t) \geqslant \varepsilon^2/4$ for every $t \in ([k]^{q_p})^{<\Lambda_p}$. By the choice of Λ_p in (7.2) and

Lemma 2.8 applied for "$k = k^{q_p}$" and "$\delta = \varepsilon^2/4$", there exist a word t over $[k]^{q_p}$ and a left variable word t_0 over $[k]^{q_p}$ such that, setting

$$S = \{t\} \cup \big\{t^\frown t_0(\mathbf{a}) : \mathbf{a} \in [k]^{q_p}\big\}, \tag{7.35}$$

we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in S} \tilde{A}_t\Big) \geqslant \eta(k^{q_p}, \varepsilon^2/4) \overset{(7.3)}{=} \eta_p(k,\epsilon). \tag{7.36}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcap_{t\in S} \tilde{A}_t &= A_{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)} \cap A_{\Phi_{p,\tau}(t)} \cap \bigcap_{\mathbf{a}\in[k]^{q_p}} A_{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}\left(t^\frown t_0(\mathbf{a})\right)} \cap A_{\Phi_{p,\tau}\left(t^\frown t_0(\mathbf{a})\right)} \\ &\subseteq A_{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)} \cap \bigcap_{\mathbf{a}\in[k]^{q_p}} A_{\Phi_{p,\tau}\left(t^\frown t_0(\mathbf{a})\right)}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

By Lemma 7.7, there exists an one-dimensional basic set B of width p and type τ such that

$$B \subseteq \big\{\Phi^0_{p,\tau}(t)\big\} \cup \big\{\Phi_{p,\tau}\big(t^\frown t_0(\mathbf{a})\big) : \mathbf{a} \in [k]^{q_p}\big\}. \tag{7.38}$$

By (7.36), (7.37) and (7.38), we conclude that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B} A_w\Big) \geqslant \eta_p(k,\varepsilon) \tag{7.39}$$

and the proof is completed. □

We are ready to complete to the proof of Proposition 7.1.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. Let $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$. Also let $p \in \{2, ..., k^m\}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$ with $|\tau| = \ell \leqslant m$. By (7.2), we see that $\Lambda_p(k,\varepsilon) \leqslant \Lambda_{k^m}(k,\varepsilon)$ and so

$$\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}^*_1(k,m,\varepsilon) \overset{(7.4)}{\geqslant} \mathrm{CS}\big(k, \ell\cdot\Lambda_p, 1, 2^k\big). \tag{7.40}$$

Thus, invoking Lemma 7.8, the result follows. □

7.3. **Consequences.** We will need the following consequence of Proposition 7.1.

Corollary 7.9. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $0 < \varrho \leqslant 1$. Also let $m, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1 \leqslant \ell < m$ and V a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with*

$$\dim(V) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}^*_1(k,m,\varrho) + m. \tag{7.41}$$

Finally let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$ where $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p_i \geqslant 2$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p_i]$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$, and assume that $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} |\tau_i| \leqslant m$. Assume, moreover, that $\{A_v : v \in V\}$ is a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) such that for every $B \in \mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V)$ we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{v\in B} A_v\Big) \geqslant \varrho. \tag{7.42}$$

Then for every $p \in \{2,...,k^m\}$ and every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k,p]$ with $|\tau| \leqslant m$ there exists an $(\ell+1)$-dimensional basic set $B' \subseteq V$ with $\mathbf{p}(B') = (p, p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ and $\mathbf{t}(B') = (\tau, \tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$, and such that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{v\in B'} A_v\Big) \geqslant \eta_p(k,\varrho) \tag{7.43}$$

where $\eta_p(k,\varrho)$ is as in (7.3).

Proof. Set $d = \dim(V)$ and identify V with $[k]^{<d+1}$ via the canonical isomorphism I_V. Also fix a basic set B_1 of $[k]^{<m+1}$ with top the empty word, $\dim(B_1) = \ell$, $\mathbf{p}(B_1) = \mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{t}(B_1) = \mathbf{t}$. We set

$$d_0 = \mathrm{Cor}_1^*(k,m,\varrho) \tag{7.44}$$

and for every $s \in [k]^{<d_0+1}$ let

$$B_s = s^\frown B_1 \ \text{ and } \ \tilde{A}_s = \bigcap_{v\in B_s} A_v. \tag{7.45}$$

Let $s \in [k]^{<d_0+1}$ be arbitrary. Since $d \geqslant d_0 + m$ we see that B_s is a basic set of $[k]^{<d+1}$ with top the word s, $\dim(B_s) = \ell$, $\mathbf{p}(B_s) = \mathbf{p}$ and $\mathbf{t}(B_s) = \mathbf{t}$. In particular, we have that $B_s \in \mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}([k]^{<d+1})$. Hence, by our assumptions, we conclude that

$$\mu(\tilde{A}_s) \geqslant \varrho. \tag{7.46}$$

Now let $p \in \{2,...,k^m\}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{T}_f[k,p]$ with $|\tau| \leqslant m$. By (7.44) and (7.46), we may apply Proposition 7.1 for the family $\{\tilde{A}_s : s \in [k]^{<d_0+1}\}$, the integer p and the type τ. Therefore, there exists an one-dimensional basic set $B_0 \subseteq [k]^{<d_0+1}$ of width p and type τ, and such that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{s\in B_0} \tilde{A}_s\Big) \geqslant \eta_p(k,\varrho). \tag{7.47}$$

Let (s_0, F_0) be the generating sequence of B_0 and define

$$B' = \{s_0\} \cup (s_0^\frown F_0) \cup (s^\frown F_0^\frown B_1). \tag{7.48}$$

Since the top of B_1 is the empty word, we see that B' is an $(\ell+1)$-dimensional basic set of $[k]^{<d+1}$ with $\mathbf{p}(B') = (p, p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ and $\mathbf{t}(B') = (\tau, \tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$. Also notice that $B' \subseteq B_0^\frown B_1$. Therefore,

$$\bigcap_{s\in B_0} \tilde{A}_s \overset{(7.45)}{=} \bigcap_{v\in B_0^\frown B_1} A_v \subseteq \bigcap_{v\in B'} A_v \tag{7.49}$$

and so

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{v\in B'} A_v\Big) \geqslant \mu\Big(\bigcap_{s\in B_0} \tilde{A}_s\Big) \overset{(7.47)}{\geqslant} \eta_p(k,\varrho). \tag{7.50}$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

8. Correlation on basic sets: higher-dimensional case

Our goal in this section is to obtain a higher-dimensional extension of Proposition 7.1. This extension is the final step of the proof of Theorem 1.2. To state it we need to introduce some numerical invariants.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Also let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ be a nonempty finite sequence in $\mathbb{N}$ with $p_i \geqslant 2$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$. We define a sequence $(\eta_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-1}$ of positive reals recursively by the rule

$$\begin{cases} \eta_0 = \eta_{p_{\ell-1}}(k, \varepsilon), \\ \eta_{i+1} = \eta_{p_{\ell-i-2}}(k, \eta_i) \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

where $\eta_{p_{\ell-1}}(k,\varepsilon)$ and $\eta_{p_{\ell-i-2}}(k,\eta_i)$ are as in (7.3). We set

$$\eta_{\mathbf{p}}(k,\varepsilon) = \eta_{\ell-1}. \tag{8.2}$$

Also let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geqslant 1$ and define

$$\mathbf{m}(k) = (\underbrace{k^m, ..., k^m}_{m-\text{times}}) \tag{8.3}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Cor}(k,m,\varepsilon) = \mathrm{Ram}_{\mathrm{B}}\Big(k, \mathrm{Cor}_1^*\big(k,m,\eta_{\mathbf{m}(k)}(k,\varepsilon)\big) + m, 2\Big) \tag{8.4}$$

where $\mathrm{Cor}_1^*\big(k,m,\eta_{\mathbf{m}(k)}(k,\varepsilon)\big)$ is as in (7.4). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m \geqslant 1$, and $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Also let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}(k,m,\varepsilon)$. Then for every family $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$ there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W with $\dim(U) = m$ such that for every basic set B of U we have*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B} A_w\Big) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon). \tag{8.5}$$

Proof. We fix a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}(k,m,\varepsilon)$ and a family $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$. We set

$$\mathcal{G} = \Big\{B \in \mathrm{B}(W) : \mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B} A_w\Big) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon)\Big\}. \tag{8.6}$$

By Theorem 5.2 and (8.4), there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W with

$$\dim(V) = \mathrm{Cor}_1^*\big(k,m,\eta_{\mathbf{m}(k)}(k,\varepsilon)\big) + m \tag{8.7}$$

and satisfying the following property. For every pair B_1, B_2 of basic sets of V with the same type we have that $B_1 \in \mathcal{G}$ if and only if $B_2 \in \mathcal{G}$.

Claim 8.2. *Let $\ell \in [m]$. Also let $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, ..., p_{\ell-1})$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_{\ell-1})$ where $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p_i \geqslant 2$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k, p_i]$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$. Assume that $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} |\tau_i| \leqslant m$. Then we have that $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$.*

Notice that, by Claim 8.2, any m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree U of V satisfies the requirements of the proposition. Therefore, the proof will be completed once we prove Claim 8.2.

To this end we will proceed by induction on ℓ. The case "$\ell = 1$" follows immediately form Proposition 7.1 since

$$\dim(V) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}_1^*\big(k, m, \eta_{\mathbf{m}(k)}(k,\varepsilon)\big) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}_1^*(k,m,\varepsilon). \tag{8.8}$$

So let $\ell \in [m-1]$ and assume that the claim has been proved up to ℓ. We fix two sequences $\mathbf{p} = (p_0, ..., p_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{t} = (\tau_0, ..., \tau_\ell)$ where $p_i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p_i \geqslant 2$ and $\tau_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{Fl}}[k, p_i]$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., \ell\}$, and such that $\sum_{i=0}^{\ell} |\tau_i| \leqslant m$. We set

$$\mathbf{p}' = (p_1, ..., p_\ell) \ \text{ and } \ \mathbf{t}' = (\tau_1, ..., \tau_\ell). \tag{8.9}$$

By our inductive assumptions, we see that $\mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{t}'}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. This is equivalent to say that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B'} A_w\Big) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{p}'}(k,\varepsilon) \tag{8.10}$$

for every $B' \in \mathrm{B}_{\ell,\mathbf{p}',\mathbf{t}'}(V)$. Observe that $\eta_{\mathbf{m}(k)}(k,\varepsilon) \leqslant \eta_{\mathbf{p}'}(k,\varepsilon)$. Hence, by (8.7),

$$\dim(V) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}_1^*\big(k, m, \eta_{\mathbf{p}'}(k,\varepsilon)\big) + m. \tag{8.11}$$

By (8.10) and (8.11), we may apply Corollary 7.9 for "$\varrho = \eta_{\mathbf{p}'}(k,\varepsilon)$", "$p = p_0$" and "$\tau = \tau_0$". In particular, there exists a basic set B of V with $\mathbf{p}(B) = (p_0, p_1, ..., p_\ell)$ and $\mathbf{t}(B) = (\tau_0, \tau_1, ..., \tau_\ell)$, and such that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in B} A_w\Big) \geqslant \eta_{p_0}\big(k, \eta_{\mathbf{p}'}(k,\varepsilon)\big) \overset{(8.2)}{=} \eta_{\mathbf{p}}(k,\varepsilon) = \eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon). \tag{8.12}$$

It follows that $B \in \mathrm{B}_{\ell+1,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V) \cap \mathcal{G}$ and so $\mathrm{B}_{\ell+1,\mathbf{p},\mathbf{t}}(V) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$ by the choice of V. This completes the proof of Claim 8.2 and, as we have already indicated, the entire proof is completed. □

9. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. We set

$$\theta(k,\varepsilon,1) = \varepsilon. \tag{9.1}$$

If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 2$, then let

$$\mathbf{n} = (\underbrace{n, ..., n}_{n-\text{times}}) \tag{9.2}$$

and define

$$\theta(k,\varepsilon,n) = \eta_{\mathbf{n}}(k,\varepsilon) \tag{9.3}$$

where $\eta_{\mathbf{n}}(k,\varepsilon)$ is as in (8.2). Finally, for every positive integer m let $\mathrm{Cor}(k,m,\varepsilon)$ be as in (8.4). We claim that with these choices the result follows.

Indeed, fix a Carlson–Simpson tree T of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}(k,m,\varepsilon)$ and a family $\{A_t : t \in T\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_t) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $t \in T$. By Proposition 8.1, there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree S of T of dimension m such that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in B} A_t\Big) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon) \tag{9.4}$$

for every basic set B of S. We will show that S is as desired. To this end let F be a nonempty subset of S and set $n = |F|$. If $n = 1$, then the estimate in (1.3) is automatically satisfied by the choice of $\theta(k,\varepsilon,1)$ in (9.1) and our assumptions. Otherwise, by Proposition 6.1, there exists a basic set B of width and dimension at most n and such that $F \subseteq B \subseteq S$. In particular, if $\mathbf{p}(B) = (p_0,...,p_{\ell-1})$, then $\ell \in [n]$ and $p_i \in \{2,...,n\}$ for every $i \in \{0,...,\ell-1\}$. This is easily seen to imply that $\eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{n}}(k,\varepsilon)$. Therefore,

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in F} A_t\Big) \geqslant \mu\Big(\bigcap_{t\in B} A_t\Big) \overset{(9.4)}{\geqslant} \eta_{\mathbf{p}(B)}(k,\varepsilon) \geqslant \eta_{\mathbf{n}}(k,\varepsilon) \overset{(9.3)}{=} \theta(k,\varepsilon,|F|). \tag{9.5}$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is thus completed.

10. Estimating the constant $\theta(k,\varepsilon,2)$

This section is devoted to the proof of the following result.

Proposition 10.1. *Let $k,m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m \geqslant 1$, and $0 < \vartheta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer $\mathrm{Cor}_2(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$ with the following property. If W is a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}_2(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$ and $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ is a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$, then there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W with $\dim(U) = m$ and such that for every $u,u' \in U$ we have*

$$\mu(A_u \cap A_{u'}) \geqslant \vartheta^2. \tag{10.1}$$

Proposition 10.1 implies, of course, that the constant $\theta(k,\varepsilon,2)$ can be chosen to be $\varepsilon^2 - o(1)$. Its proof is given in §10.2 and is based on a detailed analysis of the Ramsey properties of pairs of Carlson–Simpson trees. This analysis is carried out in §10.1.

We mention that, in what follows, for any set X by $\mathrm{Pairs}(X)$ we shall denote the set $\{F \subseteq X : |F| = 2\}$. While unconventional, this notation is quite informative and very convenient.

10.1. Word representation of pairs of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and, as in (4.3), define

$$\mathcal{L}_2 = [k]^2 \setminus \big\{(a,a) : a \in [k]\big\}. \tag{10.2}$$

Next fix a letter $*$ and let

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_2 \cup \big\{(a,*) : a \in [k]\big\} \cup \big\{(*,a) : a \in [k]\big\}. \tag{10.3}$$

Setting $A = [k] \cup \{*\}$, we see that $\mathcal{L} \subseteq A^2$. Also notice that $|\mathcal{L}| = k^2 + k$. As in §3.1, by $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ we denote the set of all words over $[k] \cup \mathcal{L}$.

We shall define an injective map

$$\mathrm{R} : \mathrm{Pairs}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L}) \tag{10.4}$$

as follows. Let $F \in \mathrm{Pairs}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ be arbitrary. We consider the following cases.

Case 1: *F is flat.* In this case let $\mathrm{R}(F) = \mathrm{R}_2(F)$ where $\mathrm{R}_2 : \mathrm{Fl}_2([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) \to \mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ is the "representation" map defined in §4.3.

Case 2: *$F = \{w, u\}$ with u a successor of w.* Write u as $w^\frown(a_0, ..., a_{n-1})$ where $a_i \in [k]$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. We define

$$\mathrm{R}(F) = w^\frown(\tilde{a}_0, ..., \tilde{a}_{n-1}) \tag{10.5}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_i = \begin{cases} (*, a_0) & \text{if } a_i = a_0, \\ a_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{10.6}$$

for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$.

Case 3: *$F = \{w, u\}$ with $w <_{\mathrm{lex}} u$ and $|w| < |u|$.* Let $m = |w|$ and $n = |u|$ and notice that $m < n$. Write w as $(a_0, ..., a_{m-1})$ and u as $(b_0, ..., b_{n-1})$, and define

$$\mathrm{R}(F) = \big((a_0, b_0), ..., (a_{m-1}, b_{m-1})\big)^\frown(\tilde{b}_m, ..., \tilde{b}_{n-1}) \tag{10.7}$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_j = \begin{cases} (*, b_m) & \text{if } b_j = b_m, \\ b_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{10.8}$$

for every $j \in \{m, ..., n-1\}$.

Case 4: *$F = \{w, u\}$ with $w <_{\mathrm{lex}} u$ and $|w| > |u|$.* As in the previous case, let $m = |w|$ and $n = |u|$. Also write w as $(a_0, ..., a_{m-1})$ and u as $(b_0, ..., b_{n-1})$. We define

$$\mathrm{R}(F) = \big((a_0, b_0), ..., (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})\big)^\frown(\tilde{a}_n, ..., \tilde{a}_{m-1}) \tag{10.9}$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_j = \begin{cases} (a_n, *) & \text{if } a_j = a_n, \\ a_j & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{10.10}$$

for every $j \in \{n, ..., m-1\}$.

The above cases are exhaustive, and so, this completes the definition of the map R which is easily seen to be injective. For every $F \in \mathrm{Pairs}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ we call the word $\mathrm{R}(F)$ as the "representation" of F. We define the *type* of F to be the type of its representation $\mathrm{R}(F)$ in $\mathrm{W}(k, \mathcal{L})$ (see §4.3).

We remark that not all types in $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ are realized as the type of a pair of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Actually, the type τ of a pair F has a very particular form depending, of course, on the nature of F. Specifically, we have the following possibilities.

(1) In Case 1 the type τ is of the form $\big((a_0,b_0),...,(a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})\big)$ where ℓ is a positive integer and $a_0,b_0,...,a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1}\in[k]$.
(2) In Case 2 the type τ is of the form $\big((*,a)\big)$ where $a\in[k]$. In particular, in this case there are exactly k many types. All of them have length 1.
(3) In Case 3 the type τ is of the form $\big((a_0,b_0),...,(a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})\big)^\frown(*,b)$ where ℓ is a positive integer and $a_0,b_0,...,a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1},b\in[k]$.
(4) In Case 4 the type τ is of the form $\big((a_0,b_0),...,(a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})\big)^\frown(a,*)$ where ℓ is a positive integer and $a_0,b_0,...,a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1},a\in[k]$.

We proceed to discuss two important properties guaranteed by the above definitions. They are isolated in Lemmas 10.3 and 10.4 below. However, first we need to introduce some pieces of notation. Specifically, for every Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and every $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ we set

$$\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(W)=\{F\in\mathrm{Pairs}(W):F\text{ is of type }\tau\}. \tag{10.11}$$

Moreover, if $a\in[k]\cup\mathcal{L}$ and i is a positive integer, then let

$$a^i=(\underbrace{a,...,a}_{i-\text{times}}). \tag{10.12}$$

By convention, a^0 is defined to be the empty word.

The following fact is straightforward.

Fact 10.2. *Let V be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and denote by $\mathbf{v}$ its generating sequence. Then the following hold.*

(i) *The restriction of* R *to* $\mathrm{Pairs}(V)$ *is an injection into* $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L})$.
(ii) *Let $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ and assume that the set $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)$ is nonempty. Then the restriction of* R *to* $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)$ *is onto* $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$. *In particular, the map* $\mathrm{R}:\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\to\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$ *is a bijection.*

We are ready to state the first main result of this subsection.

Lemma 10.3. *Let k,d,r,n be positive integers with $k\geqslant 2$. Also let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and assume that*

$$\dim(W)\geqslant \mathrm{FK}(k,k^2+k,d,r). \tag{10.13}$$

Then for every r-coloring of the set $\mathrm{Pairs}(W)$ *there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W with* $\dim(V)=d$ *such that for every type $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ the set* $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)$ *is either empty or monochromatic.*

Proof. Fix a coloring $c:\mathrm{Pair}(W)\to[r]$ and define $\tilde{c}:\mathbf{w}(k,\mathcal{L})\to[r]$ by the rule

$$\tilde{c}(w)=\begin{cases} c(F) & \text{if there exists } F\in\mathrm{Pairs}(W)\text{ with }\mathrm{R}(F)=w,\\ r & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{10.14}$$

By Fact 10.2, the coloring $\tilde{c}$ is well-defined. Next recall that $|\mathcal{L}| = k^2+k$. Therefore, by (10.13) and Theorem 1.3, there exists a d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence $\mathbf{v}$ of $\mathbf{w}$ such that for every $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ the set $\mathbf{v}(k,\mathcal{L},\tau)$ is monochromatic. Let V be the Carlson–Simpson subtree of W generated by $\mathbf{v}$. Invoking Fact 10.2 we see that V is as desired. $\square$

The final result of this subsection is the following lemma.

Lemma 10.4. *Let $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and $0 < \vartheta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. Also let V be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with*

$$\dim(V) \geqslant \lceil (\varepsilon^2 - \vartheta^2)^{-1} \rceil \cdot (m+1). \tag{10.15}$$

Finally let $\{A_v : v \in V\}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_v) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $v \in V$. If $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ is a type such that $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V) \neq \varnothing$ and $|\tau| \leqslant m$, then there exists $\{v, v'\} \in \mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)$ such that

$$\mu(A_v \cap A_{v'}) \geqslant \vartheta^2. \tag{10.16}$$

Proof. We set $d = \dim(V)$. Clearly we may assume that $V = [k]^{<d+1}$. Also let

$$n_0 = \lceil (\varepsilon^2 - \vartheta^2)^{-1} \rceil. \tag{10.17}$$

Fix a type $\tau \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ with $|\tau| \leqslant m$ and such that $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1}) \neq \varnothing$. We need to find $\{v, v'\} \in \mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1})$ such that the estimate in (10.16) is satisfied for the pair $\{v, v'\}$. The argument below is not uniform and depends on the form of the type τ. In particular, we distinguish the following cases.

Case 1: *we have that $\tau = \big((a_0, b_0), ..., (a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell-1})\big)$ where $|\tau| = \ell \in [m]$ and $a_0, b_0, ..., a_{\ell-1}, b_{\ell-1} \in [k]$.* For every $i \in \{0, ..., n_0\}$ define

$$v_i = (b_0^i)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-i})^\frown(b_1^i)^\frown(a_1^{n_0-i})^\frown\dots^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-i}). \tag{10.18}$$

Notice that $v_i \in [k]^{n_0\cdot\ell}$. Hence, by (10.15), we have $v_i \in [k]^{<d+1}$ which implies that $\mu(A_{v_i}) \geqslant \varepsilon$. By (10.17) and Lemma 2.6, there exist $i, j \in \{0, ..., n_0\}$ with $i < j$ such that $\mu(A_{v_i} \cap A_{v_j}) \geqslant \vartheta^2$. We set $F = \{v_i, v_j\}$. It is enough to show that $F \in \mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1})$. Indeed, by (10.18), we see that $\mathrm{R}(F)$ is the word

$$(b_0^i)^\frown\big((a_0,b_0)^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-j})^\frown\dots^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown\big((a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-j}). \tag{10.19}$$

This easily implies that the type of F is τ. Since $F \subseteq [k]^{<d+1}$ we conclude that $F \in \mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1})$.

Case 2: *we have that $\tau = \big((*, a)\big)$ where $a \in [k]$.* For every $i \in \{0, ..., n_0\}$ let $v_i = a^i$ and notice that $v_i \in [k]^i \subseteq [k]^{<d+1}$. In particular, we have $\mu(A_{v_i}) \geqslant \varepsilon$. Arguing precisely as in the previous case, we find $i, j \in \{0, ..., n_0\}$ with $i < j$ and such that $\mu(A_{v_i} \cap A_{v_j}) \geqslant \vartheta^2$. It is then easy to see that $F = \{v_i, v_j\}$ is as desired.

Case 3: *we have that* $\tau = \big((a_0,b_0),...,(a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})\big)^\frown(*,b)$ *where* $|\tau|-1=\ell\in[m-1]$ *and* $a_0,b_0,...,a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1},b\in[k]$. For every $i\in\{0,...,n_0\}$ define

$$v_i = (b_0^i)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-i})^\frown(b_1^i)^\frown(a_1^{n_0-i})^\frown...^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-i})^\frown(b^i) \tag{10.20}$$

and notice that $v_i\in[k]^{n_0\cdot\ell+i}\subseteq[k]^{<d+1}$. Arguing as above, we find $i,j\in\{0,...,n_0\}$ with $i<j$ and such that $\mu(A_{v_i}\cap A_{v_j})\geqslant\vartheta^2$. We set $F=\{v_i,v_j\}$. Clearly it is enough to show that $F\in\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1})$. To this end we observe that, by (10.20), the "representation" $\mathrm{R}(F)$ of F is the word

$$\begin{aligned}(b_0^i)^\frown\big((a_0,b_0)^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-j})^\frown...\\ ...^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown\big((a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-j})^\frown(b^i)^\frown\big((*,b)^{j-i}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{10.21}$$

It follows that the type of F is τ and, in particular, that $F\in\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau([k]^{<d+1})$.

Case 4: *we have that* $\tau = \big((a_0,b_0),...,(a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})\big)^\frown(a,*)$ *where* $|\tau|-1=\ell\in[m-1]$ *and* $a_0,b_0,...,a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1},a\in[k]$. For every $i\in\{0,...,n_0\}$ let

$$v_i = (b_0^i)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-i})^\frown(b_1^i)^\frown(a_1^{n_0-i})^\frown...^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-i})^\frown(a^i) \tag{10.22}$$

and notice that $v_i\in[k]^{n_0\cdot\ell+i}\subseteq[k]^{<d+1}$. Hence, there exist $0\leqslant i<j\leqslant n_0$ with $\mu(A_{v_i}\cap A_{v_j})\geqslant\vartheta^2$ and such that, setting $F=\{v_i,v_j\}$, the "representation" $\mathrm{R}(F)$ of F is the word

$$\begin{aligned}(b_0^i)^\frown\big((a_0,b_0)^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_0^{n_0-j})^\frown...\\ ...^\frown(b_{\ell-1}^i)^\frown\big((a_{\ell-1},b_{\ell-1})^{j-i}\big)^\frown(a_{\ell-1}^{n_0-j})^\frown(a^i)^\frown\big((a,*)^{j-i}\big).\end{aligned} \tag{10.23}$$

Therefore, the pair F is as desired. The above cases are exhaustive and so the entire proof is completed. □

10.2. **Proof of Proposition 10.1.** We set

$$\mathrm{Cor}_2(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)=\mathrm{FK}\big(k,k^2+k,\lceil(\varepsilon^2-\vartheta^2)^{-1}\rceil\cdot(m+1),2\big) \tag{10.24}$$

and we claim that with this choice the result follows.

Indeed, fix a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $\dim(W)\geqslant\mathrm{Cor}_2(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$ and a family $\{A_w:w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varepsilon$ for every $w\in W$. We set

$$\mathcal{P}=\Big\{F\in\mathrm{Pairs}(W):\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in F}A_w\Big)\geqslant\vartheta^2\Big\}. \tag{10.25}$$

By (10.24) and Lemma 10.3, there exists a Carlson-Simpson subtree V of W with $\dim(V)=\lceil(\varepsilon^2-\vartheta^2)^{-1}\rceil\cdot(m+1)$ and such that for every type $\tau\in\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{L})$ with $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\neq\varnothing$ we have that either $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\subseteq\mathcal{P}$ or $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\cap\mathcal{P}=\varnothing$. By the estimate on the dimension of V and Lemma 10.4, we see that $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\cap\mathcal{P}\neq\varnothing$ provided that $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)$ is nonempty and $|\tau|\leqslant m$. Therefore, for every type τ with $|\tau|\leqslant m$ we have $\mathrm{Pairs}_\tau(V)\subseteq\mathcal{P}$. Let U be any m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree of V. Clearly U is as desired.

11. Free sets

In this section we discuss a second quantitative refinement of Theorem 1.2. Specifically our goal is to obtain optimal lower bounds for the correlation of the events $\{A_t : t \in F\}$ in (1.3) provided that the set F is *free*. The class of free sets was introduced in [5] and includes various well-known subsets of Carlson–Simpson trees such as all finite chains, all doubletons and many more. Its definition and main properties are recalled in §11.1. In §11.2 we state the main result of this section, Theorem 11.4 below. The proof of Theorem 11.4 is given in §11.3.

11.1. **Free sets: definitions and main properties.**

We start with the following definition (see [5, Definition 6.1]).

Definition 11.1. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. Recursively, for every integer $n \geqslant 1$ we define a family $\mathrm{Fr}_n([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ as follows. First let $\mathrm{Fr}_1([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ and $\mathrm{Fr}_2([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ consist of all singletons and all doubletons of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ respectively. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 2$ and assume that the family $\mathrm{Fr}_n([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ has been defined. Then $\mathrm{Fr}_{n+1}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ consists of all subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ which can be written in the form $\{w\} \cup G$ where $w \in [k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ and $G \in \mathrm{Fr}_n([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ are such that $|w| < |\wedge G|$. We set*

$$\mathrm{Fr}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}) = \bigcup_{n \geqslant 1} \mathrm{Fr}_n([k]^{<\mathbb{N}}). \tag{11.1}$$

An element of $\mathrm{Fr}([k]^{<\mathbb{N}})$ will be called a free *subset of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$.*

We have the following characterization of free sets. Its proof if straightforward.

Fact 11.2. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$. Also let F be a finite subset of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $|F| \geqslant 3$. Then F is free if and only if there exists an enumeration $\{w_1, ..., w_n\}$ of F such that*

1. *$|w_1| < |w_2| < ... < |w_{n-1}| \leqslant |w_n|$ and*
2. *$|w_i| < |\wedge \{w_{i+1}, ..., w_n\}|$ for every $i \in \{1, ..., n-2\}$.*

For every Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ by $\mathrm{Fr}(W)$ we shall denote the set of all free subsets of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ which are contained in W. Moreover, for every integer $n \geqslant 1$ we set

$$\mathrm{Fr}_n(W) = \{F \in \mathrm{Fr}(W) : |F| = n\}. \tag{11.2}$$

We isolate, for future use, the following fact. Its proof is also straightforward.

Fact 11.3. *Let $k, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $d \geqslant 1$. Also let W be a d-dimensional Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$. Then the following hold.*

(a) *For every $F \subseteq [k]^{<d+1}$ we have that F is free if and only if $\mathrm{I}_W(F)$ is free, where I_W is the canonical isomorphism associated to W.*

(b) *For every integer $n \geqslant 1$ we have that $\mathrm{Fr}_n(W) \neq \varnothing$ if and only if $n - 1 \leqslant d$.*

(c) *For every Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W we have that $\mathrm{Fr}_n(V) \subseteq \mathrm{Fr}_n(W)$.*

11.2. **The main result.** We have the following extension of Proposition 10.1.

Theorem 11.4. *Let $k,m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $m+1\geqslant n\geqslant 1$, and $0<\vartheta<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$ with the following property. If W is a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$, then for every family $\{A_w : w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varepsilon$ for every $w\in W$ there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W with $\dim(U)=m$ and such that for every $F\in\mathrm{Fr}_n(U)$ we have*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in F}A_w\Big)\geqslant\vartheta^n. \tag{11.3}$$

By iterating Theorem 11.4, we can control simultaneously all free subsets of a Carlson–Simpson tree. Specifically, for every $k,m\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $m\geqslant 1$, and every $0<\vartheta<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$ we define a sequence $(N_i)_{i=1}^{m+1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ recursively by the rule

$$\begin{cases} N_1=m,\\ N_{i+1}=\mathrm{Cor}_{i+1}(k,N_i,\vartheta,\varepsilon)\end{cases} \tag{11.4}$$

and we set

$$\mathrm{Cor}_{\mathrm{Fr}}(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)=N_{m+1}. \tag{11.5}$$

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 11.5. *Let $k,m\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $m\geqslant 1$, and $0<\vartheta<\varepsilon\leqslant 1$. If W is a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Cor}_{\mathrm{Fr}}(k,m,\vartheta,\varepsilon)$, then for every family $\{A_w : w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varepsilon$ for every $w\in W$ there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W with $\dim(U)=m$ and such that for every $F\in\mathrm{Fr}(U)$ we have*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in F}A_w\Big)\geqslant\vartheta^{|F|}. \tag{11.6}$$

11.3. **Proof of Theorem 11.4.** For every probability space (Ω,Σ,μ), every $Y\in\Sigma$ with $\mu(Y)>0$ and every $A\in\Sigma$ let

$$\mu(A\mid Y)=\frac{\mu(A\cap Y)}{\mu(Y)} \tag{11.7}$$

be the conditional probability of A relative to Y. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 11.6. *Let $k,d\in\mathbb{N}$ with $k\geqslant 2$ and $d\geqslant 1$, and $0<\eta<\varrho\leqslant 1$. Then there exists a positive integer $\mathrm{Rel}(k,d,\eta,\varrho)$ with the following property. If W is a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ of dimension at least $\mathrm{Rel}(k,d,\eta,\varrho)$, then for every family $\{A_w : w\in W\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω,Σ,μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w)\geqslant\varrho$ for every $w\in W$ there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W with $\dim(V)=d$ and such that for every $w,v\in V$ we have*

$$\mu(A_w\mid A_v)\geqslant\eta. \tag{11.8}$$

Proof. First we introduce some numerical invariants. We set

$$\lambda = \big(\varrho \cdot \eta^{-1}\big)^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{11.9}$$

Notice that $\lambda > 1$. Also let

$$r = \Big\lceil \frac{\ln \varrho^{-1}}{\ln \lambda} \Big\rceil. \tag{11.10}$$

It is easy to see that

$$0 < \eta < \lambda^{-1}\varrho < \varrho < \lambda\varrho < \lambda^2\varrho < ... < \lambda^{r-1}\varrho < 1 \leqslant \lambda^r\varrho. \tag{11.11}$$

For every $i \in \{0, ..., r-1\}$ let

$$d_i = \mathrm{Cor}_2(k, d, \lambda^{i-1}\varrho, \lambda^i\varrho) \tag{11.12}$$

and define

$$\Delta = \max\{d_i : 0 \leqslant i \leqslant r-1\}. \tag{11.13}$$

We set

$$\mathrm{Rel}(k, d, \eta, \varrho) = \mathrm{CS}(k, \Delta+1, 1, r) \tag{11.14}$$

and we claim that with this choice the result follows.

Indeed let W be a Carlson–Simpson tree of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{Rel}(k, d, \eta, \varrho)$ and $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varrho$ for every $w \in W$. By (11.14) and Lemma 2.5, there exist a Carlson–Simpson subtree U of W with $\dim(U) = \Delta$ and $i_0 \in \{0, ..., r-1\}$ such that for every $u \in U$ we have

$$\lambda^{i_0}\varrho \leqslant \mu(A_u) \leqslant \lambda^{i_0+1}\varrho. \tag{11.15}$$

Notice that

$$\dim(U) \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}_2(k, d, \lambda^{i_0-1}\varrho, \lambda^{i_0}\varrho). \tag{11.16}$$

Therefore, by Proposition 10.1, there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of U with $\dim(V) = d$ and such that

$$\mu(A_v \cap A_{v'}) \geqslant \lambda^{2i_0-2}\varrho^2 \tag{11.17}$$

for every $v, v' \in V$. By (11.15) and (11.17), and taking into account that $\lambda > 1$ and $i_0 \geqslant 0$, we conclude that

$$\mu(A_v \mid A_{v'}) = \frac{\mu(A_v \cap A_{v'})}{\mu(A_{v'})} \geqslant \frac{\lambda^{2i_0-2}\varrho^2}{\lambda^{i_0+1}\varrho} = \lambda^{i_0-3}\varrho \geqslant \lambda^{-3}\varrho \overset{(11.9)}{=} \eta. \tag{11.18}$$

The proof is completed. $\square$

We are ready to proceed to the proof of Theorem 11.4.

Proof of Theorem 11.4. The proof proceeds by induction on n. Of course the case "$n = 1$" is straightforward, while the case "$n = 2$" follows from Proposition 10.1. So let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geqslant 2$ and assume that the numbers $\mathrm{Cor}_n(k, m, \vartheta, \varepsilon)$ have been defined for any choice of admissible parameters. We fix $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m \geqslant n$, and $0 < \vartheta < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$. To define the number $\mathrm{Cor}_{n+1}(k, m, \vartheta, \varepsilon)$ we need to do some preparatory work. First let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be defined by the rule

$$f(x) = \mathrm{Cor}_n\Big(k, x, \vartheta, \frac{\vartheta + \varepsilon}{2}\Big) \tag{11.19}$$

if $x \geqslant n - 1$ and $f(x) = 0$ otherwise. Next we define a sequence $(d_i)_{i=0}^{m-n+1}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ recursively by the rule

$$\begin{cases} d_{m-n+1} = n - 1, \\ d_{i-1} = 1 + f^{(k^{2i-1})}(d_i) \end{cases} \tag{11.20}$$

where $f^{(k^{2i-1})}$ stands for the (k^{2i-1})-th iteration of f. Finally let

$$\mathrm{Cor}_{n+1}(k, m, \vartheta, \varepsilon) = \mathrm{Rel}\Big(k, d_0, \frac{\vartheta + \varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon\Big). \tag{11.21}$$

We claim that with this choice the result follows.

Indeed, fix a Carlson–Simpson tree W of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with

$$\dim(W) \geqslant \mathrm{Rel}\Big(k, d_0, \frac{\vartheta + \varepsilon}{2}, \varepsilon\Big). \tag{11.22}$$

Also let $\{A_w : w \in W\}$ be a family of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in W$. By (11.22) and Proposition 11.6, there exists a Carlson–Simpson subtree V of W with $\dim(V) = d_0$ and such that

$$\mu(A_v \mid A_{v'}) \geqslant \frac{\vartheta + \varepsilon}{2} \tag{11.23}$$

for every $v, v' \in V$.

Recursively we shall construct a sequence $(V_i)_{i=0}^{m-n+1}$ of Carlson–Simpson trees of $[k]^{<\mathbb{N}}$ with $V_0 = V$ and such that for every $i \in [m-n+1]$ the following conditions are satisfied.

(C1) We have that V_i is a Carlson–Simpson subtree of V_{i-1} of dimension $i + d_i$.
(C2) For every $j \in \{0, ..., i-1\}$ we have that $V_i(j) = V_{i-1}(j)$.
(C3) For every $v \in V_i(i-1)$ and every $G \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(V_i)$ with $\wedge G \in V_i(j)$ for some $j \in \{i, ..., \dim(V_i) - 1\}$ we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w \in G} A_w \mid A_v\Big) \geqslant \vartheta^n. \tag{11.24}$$

We proceed to the construction. Let $i \in [m - n + 1]$ and assume that the Carlson–Simpson trees $V_0, ..., V_{i-1}$ have been selected so that conditions (C1), (C2) and (C3) are satisfied. Set

$$d = \dim(V_{i-1}) \overset{\text{(C1)}}{=} i - 1 + d_{i-1} \tag{11.25}$$

and denote by $\mathbf{v} = (v, v_0, ..., v_{d-1})$ the generating sequence of V_{i-1}. Let T be the Carlson–Simpson tree generated by the Carlson–Simpson sequence $(\varnothing, v_i, ..., v_{d-1})$. Notice that

$$\dim(T) = d - i \overset{(11.25)}{=} d_{i-1} - 1 \overset{(11.20)}{=} f^{(k^{2i-1})}(d_i). \tag{11.26}$$

Also observe that $|V_{i-1}(i-1)| = k^{i-1}$ and $|V_{i-1}(i)| = k^i$. By (11.23), the definition of the map f in (11.19) and using our inductive assumptions successively k^{2i-1} many times, we find a Carlson–Simpson subtree S of T with $\dim(S) = d_i$ and such that for every $v \in V_{i-1}(i-1)$, every $t \in V_{i-1}(i)$ and every $G \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(t^\frown S)$ we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in G} A_w \mid A_v\Big) \geqslant \vartheta^n. \tag{11.27}$$

We set

$$V_i = \Big(\bigcup_{j=0}^{i-1} V_{i-1}(j)\Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{t\in V_{i-1}(i)} t^\frown S\Big). \tag{11.28}$$

It is easily checked that V_i satisfies condition (C1)-(C3). The recursive selection is thus completed.

We are ready for the final step of the argument. We set $U = V_{m-n+1}$ and we observe that

$$\dim(U) \overset{(C1)}{=} m - n + 1 + d_{m-n+1} \overset{(11.20)}{=} m. \tag{11.29}$$

Let $H \in \mathrm{Fr}_{n+1}(U)$ be arbitrary. Write H as $\{v\} \cup G$ where $v \in U$ and $G \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(U)$ are such that $|v| < |\wedge G|$. By Fact 11.3 and (11.29), we see that there exists $i_0 \in \{0, ..., m-n+1\}$ such that $v \in U(i_0 - 1)$. Invoking conditions (C1) and (C2), we get that $v \in V_{i_0}(i_0 - 1)$, $G \in \mathrm{Fr}_n(V_{i_0})$ and $\wedge G \in V_{i_0}(j)$ for some $j \geqslant i_0$. Hence, by (C3), we conclude that

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in H} A_w\Big) = \mu\Big(\bigcap_{w\in G} A_w \mid A_v\Big) \cdot \mu(A_v) \overset{(11.24)}{\geqslant} \vartheta^n \cdot \varepsilon > \vartheta^{n+1}. \tag{11.30}$$

This completes the proof of the general inductive step and so the entire proof is completed. □

12. Comments

Let $k, n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $n \geqslant m \geqslant 1$. A subset of $[k]^n$ of the form

$$\{w(a_0, ..., a_{m-1}) : a_0, ..., a_{m-1} \in [k]\} \tag{12.1}$$

where w is an m-variable word over k of length n, is said to be an *m-dimensional combinatorial subspace* of $[k]^n$. We point out that all the results in this paper remain valid for the category of combinatorial subspaces. Indeed, any of our statements about Carlson–Simpson trees implies, naturally, its counterpart for combinatorial subspaces. For instance, Theorem 1.2 has the following consequence.

Corollary 12.1. *For every* $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $k \geqslant 2$ *and* $m \geqslant 1$*, and every* $0 < \varepsilon \leqslant 1$ *let* $\mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ *be as in Theorem 1.2. If* $N \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ *and* $\{A_w : w \in [k]^N\}$ *is a family of measurable events in a probability space* (Ω, Σ, μ) *satisfying* $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ *for every* $w \in [k]^N$*, then there exists an* m*-dimensional combinatorial subspace* V *of* $[k]^N$ *such that for every* $n \in \{1, ..., |V|\}$ *and every subset* F *of* V *of cardinality* n *we have*

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{w \in F} A_w \Big) \geqslant \theta(k, \varepsilon, n) \tag{12.2}$$

where $\theta(k, \varepsilon, n)$ *is as in Theorem 1.2.*

Proof. As we have already indicated, we will reduce the proof to Theorem 1.2. We will argue as in [7, Proposition 11.13]. Specifically, fix an integer $N \geqslant \mathrm{Cor}(k, \varepsilon, m)$ and a family $\{A_w : w \in [k]^N\}$ of measurable events in a probability space (Ω, Σ, μ) satisfying $\mu(A_w) \geqslant \varepsilon$ for every $w \in [k]^N$. For every $i \in \{0, ..., N\}$ and every $t \in [k]^i$ let $\tilde{t} = t^\frown 1^{N-i} \in [k]^N$, where 1^{N-i} is as in (10.12), and define

$$\tilde{A}_t = A_{\tilde{t}}. \tag{12.3}$$

By Theorem 1.2, there exists an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subtree S of $[k]^{<N+1}$ such that for every nonempty $F \subseteq S$ we have

$$\mu\Big(\bigcap_{t \in F} \tilde{A}_t \Big) \geqslant \theta(k, \varepsilon, |F|). \tag{12.4}$$

We set $V = \{\tilde{t} : t \in S(m)\}$. Clearly V is as desired. □

Appendix A.

Our goal in this appendix is to give the proof of the following quantitative strengthening of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem A.1. *There exists a primitive recursive function* $\psi : \mathbb{N}^4 \to \mathbb{N}$ *belonging to the class* $\mathcal{E}^6$ *of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that*

$$\mathrm{GR}(k, d, m, r) \leqslant \psi(k, d, m, r) \tag{A.1}$$

for every integer $k \geqslant 2$*, every pair of integers* $d \geqslant m \geqslant 1$ *and every integer* $r \geqslant 1$*.*

Theorem A.1 is based on several intermediate results which are of independent interest. All of them are well-known – see, e.g., [14, 17, 19, 20] – and follow from the Hales–Jewett Theorem. We recall this material in §A.1 and §A.2. The proof of Theorem A.1 is given in §A.3.

A.1. **Folkman's Theorem.** Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, ..., F_n)$ be a nonempty finite sequence of pairwise disjoint nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. The *finite unions* of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined to be the set

$$\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F}) = \Big\{ \bigcup_{s \in S} F_s : S \text{ is a nonempty subset of } \{0, ..., n\} \Big\}. \tag{A.2}$$

The following result is known as *Folkman's Theorem*.

Theorem A.2. *For every $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d, r \geqslant 1$ there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every sequence $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, ..., F_{n-1})$ of pairwise disjoint nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ and every r-coloring of $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ there exists a sequence $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, ..., G_{d-1})$ in $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ consisting of pairwise disjoint sets such that the set $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G})$ is monochromatic. The least integer N with this property will be denoted by $\mathrm{F}(d, r)$.*

We will sketch a proof of Theorem A.2 emphasizing, in particular, the bounds we get from the argument. To this end we need to introduce some numerical invariants. Specifically, for every $k, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$ and $m, r \geqslant 1$ let $\mathrm{MHJ}(k, m, r)$ be the "multidimensional Hales–Jewett number" for the parameters k, m, r. It is defined to be the least integer $N \geqslant m$ such that for every $n \geqslant N$ and every r-coloring of $[k]^n$ there exists a monochromatic m-dimensional combinatorial subspace of $[k]^n$. It is well-known – see, e.g., [23, Proposition 4.1] – that

$$\mathrm{MHJ}(2, m, r) \leqslant r^{2^{2m}}. \tag{A.3}$$

Next, for every pair d, r of positive integers we define a sequence (n_i) in $\mathbb{N}$ recursively by the rule

$$\begin{cases} n_0 = d, \\ n_{i+1} = \mathrm{MHJ}(2, n_i, r). \end{cases} \tag{A.4}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition A.3. *For every pair d, r of positive integers we have $\mathrm{F}(d, r) \leqslant n_{dr}$. In particular, there exists a primitive recursive function $\psi_1 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^4$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that $\mathrm{F}(d, r) \leqslant \psi_1(d, r)$.*

We will need the following fact. It is a straightforward consequence of the definition of the "multidimensional Hales–Jewett numbers".

Fact A.4. *Let n, r be a pair of positive integers and set $N = \mathrm{MHJ}(2, n, r)$. Also let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, ..., F_{N-1})$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Then for every r-coloring of $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ there exist $G \in \{\varnothing\} \cup \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ and a sequence $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, ..., G_{n-1})$ in $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ consisting of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N} \setminus G$ such that the set $\{G \cup H : H \in \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G})\}$ is monochromatic.*

We proceed to the proof of Proposition A.3.

Proof of Proposition A.3. Let $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, ..., F_{n_{dr-1}})$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Fix a coloring $c : \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F}) \to [r]$ and set $G_{dr} = \varnothing$ and $\mathcal{G}_{dr} = \mathcal{F}$. Using Fact A.4 and backwards induction, for every $i \in \{0, ..., dr-1\}$ we may select sets $G_i, G_0^{(i)}, ..., G_{n_i-1}^{(i)}$ such that, setting $\mathcal{G}_i = (G_0^{(i)}, ..., G_{n_i-1}^{(i)})$, the following conditions are satisfied.

- (C1) We have that $G_i \in \{\varnothing\} \cup \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G}_{i+1})$. Moreover, the sequence $\mathcal{G}_i$ is in $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G}_{j+1})$ and consists of pairwise disjoint subsets of $\mathbb{N} \setminus G_i$.
- (C2) The set $\{G_i \cup H : H \in \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G}_i)\}$ is monochromatic with respect to c.

The construction is, of course, straightforward. Next we distinguish the following (mutually exclusive) cases.

CASE 1: *for every $i \in \{0, ..., dr-1\}$ the set G_i is nonempty.* We set

$$\mathcal{F}' = (G_0, ..., G_{dr-1}). \tag{A.5}$$

By condition (C1), the sequence $\mathcal{F}'$ is in $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ and consists of pairwise disjoint sets. On the other hand, by condition (C2), for every pair S_1 and S_2 of nonempty subsets of $\{0, ..., dr-1\}$ with $\max(S_1) = \max(S_2)$ we have that

$$c\Big(\bigcup_{s \in S_1} G_s\Big) = c\Big(\bigcup_{s \in S_2} G_s\Big). \tag{A.6}$$

Therefore, by the classical pigeonhole principle, there exist $i_0 < i_1 < ... < i_{d-1}$ in $\{0, ..., dr-1\}$ such that the set $\mathrm{FU}\big((G_{i_0}, ..., G_{i_{d-1}})\big)$ is monochromatic.

CASE 2: *there exists $i_0 \in \{0, ..., dr-1\}$ with $G_{i_0} = \varnothing$.* Notice that $n_{i_0} \geqslant d$. By conditions (C1) and (C2), the sequence $\mathcal{G} = (G_0^{(i_0)}, ..., G_{d-1}^{(i_0)})$ is as desired.

This completes the proof that $\mathrm{F}(d,r) \leqslant n_{dr}$. Finally, plugging in this upper bound the estimate in (A.3) and using elementary properties of primitive recursive functions (see, e.g., [22]), we see that there exists a primitive recursive function $\psi_1 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^4$ such that $\mathrm{F}(d,r) \leqslant \psi_1(d,r)$. The proof is completed. □

We will need a slight variant of Folkman's Theorem which is, essentially, the finite version of Hindman's Theorem [16]. To state this variant it is convenient to introduce some terminology. Specifically, let $n \geqslant 1$ and $\mathcal{F} = (F_0, ..., F_n)$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint nonempty finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is a *block sequence* if $\max(F_i) < \min(F_{i+1})$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. If $d \in [n]$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is a block sequence of length n, then a sequence $\mathcal{G} = (G_0, ..., G_d)$ is said to be a *block subsequence* of $\mathcal{F}$ if $\mathcal{G}$ is a block sequence and $G_i \in \mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ for every $i \in \{0, ..., d\}$. We have the following corollary.

Corollary A.5. *For every $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $d, r \geqslant 1$ there exists a positive integer N with the following property. If $n \geqslant N$, then for every block sequence $\mathcal{F}$ of length n and every r-coloring of $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{F})$ there exists a block subsequence $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ of length d*

such that the set $\mathrm{FU}(\mathcal{G})$ *is monochromatic. The least integer* N *with this property will be denoted by* $\mathrm{H}(d,r)$.

Moreover, there exists a primitive recursive function $\psi_2 : \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ *belonging to the class* $\mathcal{E}^4$ *of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy such that* $\mathrm{H}(d,r) \leqslant \psi_2(d,r)$.

Proof. For every triple d, m, r of positive integers with $d \geqslant m$ let $\mathrm{R}(d,m,r)$ be the Ramsey number for the parameters d, m, r. It is defined to be the least integer $n \geqslant d$ such that for every coloring $c : \{F \subseteq [n] : |F| = m\} \to [r]$ there exists $L \subseteq [n]$ with $|L| = d$ such that the set $\{F \subseteq L : |F| = m\}$ is monochromatic. It follows from well-known estimates – see, e.g., [9, 14, 19] – that the Ramsey numbers are bounded by a function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^4$. Also notice that if $n \geqslant \mathrm{R}(d+m, m, r^m)$, then for every coloring $c : \{F \subseteq [n] : |F| \leqslant m\} \to [r]$ there exists $L \subseteq [n]$ with $|L| = d$ such that for every $i \in [m]$ the coloring c is constant on $\{F \subseteq L : |F| = i\}$. Using this observation, we see that

$$\mathrm{H}(d,r) \leqslant \mathrm{R}\Big(2 \cdot \mathrm{F}(d,r), \mathrm{F}(d,r), r^{\mathrm{F}(d,r)}\Big) \tag{A.7}$$

and the proof is completed. □

A.2. **The finite version of the Milliken–Taylor Theorem.** The following result is the finite version of the Milliken–Taylor Theorem [18, 25].

Theorem A.6. *Let* $d, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $d \geqslant m \geqslant 1$ *and* $r \geqslant 1$. *Then there exists a positive integer* N *with the following property. If* $n \geqslant N$, *then for every block sequence* $\mathcal{F}$ *of length* n *and every* r*-coloring of the set of all block subsequences of* $\mathcal{F}$ *of length* m *there exists a block subsequence* $\mathcal{G}$ *of* $\mathcal{F}$ *of length* d *such that the set of all block subsequences of* $\mathcal{G}$ *of length* m *is monochromatic. The least integer with this property will be denoted by* $\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)$.

Just as in §A.1, we will sketch a proof of Theorem A.6 focusing on the bounds we get from the argument for the numbers $\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)$. To this end, first, we define a function $h : \mathbb{N}^4 \to \mathbb{N}$ recursively by the rule

$$\begin{cases} h(0,\ell,m,r) = 0, \\ h(i+1,\ell,m,r) = \mathrm{H}\Big(h(i,\ell,m,r)+1, r^{2^{(m+\ell)m}}\Big). \end{cases} \tag{A.8}$$

Next, we define a function $f : \mathbb{N}^3 \to \mathbb{N}$ also recursively by

$$\begin{cases} f(d,1,r) = \mathrm{H}(d,r), \\ f(d,m+1,r) = m + h\big(f(d,m,r)-m, f(d,m,r)-m, m, r\big). \end{cases} \tag{A.9}$$

Above we use the convention that $h(i,\ell,m,r) = 0$, respectively $f(d,m,r) = 0$, if some of the arguments is 0. We have the following proposition.

Proposition A.7. *For every* $d, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ *with* $d \geqslant m \geqslant 1$ *and* $r \geqslant 1$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r) \leqslant f(d,m,r). \tag{A.10}$$

In particular, the numbers $\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)$ *are bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class* $\mathcal{E}^6$ *of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy.*

Proof. First we will show that the estimate in (A.10) is satisfied. We will argue by induction on m. The case "$m=1$" is straightforward. Let $d,m,r\in\mathbb{N}$ with $d\geqslant m+1\geqslant 1$ and $r\geqslant 1$ and assume that $\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)\leqslant f(d,m,r)$. We set $\ell=\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)-m$ and $N_i=h(i,\ell,m,r)$ for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell\}$. Clearly, it is enough to prove that $\mathrm{MT}(d,m+1,r)\leqslant m+N_\ell$.

To this end, fix a block sequence $\mathcal{F}$ of length $m+N_\ell$ and a coloring c of the set of all block subsequences of $\mathcal{F}$ of length $m+1$. By backwards induction, we may select a family $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{i=1}^{\ell}$ of block sequences satisfying the following properties.

(C1) The length of $\mathcal{F}_i$ is $m+\ell-i+N_i$ for every $i\in[\ell]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_\ell=\mathcal{F}$.

(C2) The sequence $\mathcal{F}_i$ is a block subsequence of $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ for every $i\in[\ell-1]$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_i$ and $\mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ agree on the first $m+\ell-i-1$ elements.

(C3) Let $i\in[\ell-1]$ and $(G_0,...,G_{m-1})$ be a block subsequence of length m of the first $m+\ell-i-1$ members of $\mathcal{F}_i$. Also let F and F' be nonempty unions of the last N_i+1 members of $\mathcal{F}_i$. Then, the block sequences $(G_0,...,G_{m-1},F)$ and $(G_0,...,G_{m-1},F')$ have the same color.

Such a selection can be easily carried out using Corollary A.5 and invoking the definition of the function h in (A.8). By condition (C1) and the choice of ℓ, it is easily verified that the length of the block sequence $\mathcal{F}_1$ is $\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r)$. Next observe that, by condition (C3), the color of a block subsequence $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{F}_1$ of length $m+1$ depends only on the first m elements of $\mathcal{G}$. By our inductive assumptions and taking into account the above remarks, there exists a block subsequence $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{F}_1$ of length d such that the set of all block subsequences of $\mathcal{H}$ of length $m+1$ is monochromatic with respect to c.

Finally notice that, by Corollary A.5, the function h is bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^5$ and, therefore, the function f is bounded by a function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$. The proof is completed. □

A.3. **Proof of Theorem A.1.** Fix the parameters k,d,m,r and set

$$\ell=\mathrm{MT}(d,m,r). \tag{A.11}$$

Define recursively a sequence $(N_i)_{i=0}^{\ell}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ by the rule

$$\begin{cases} N_0=0, \\ N_{i+1}=N_i+\mathrm{HJ}\Big(k,r^{(k+m)^{N_i+\ell-i-1}}\Big). \end{cases} \tag{A.12}$$

Notice that, by Proposition A.7 and the bounds for the "Hales–Jewett numbers" obtained in [23], it is enough to show that $\mathrm{GR}(k,d,m,r)\leqslant N_\ell$. To this end, let c be an r-coloring of the set of all m-variable words over k of length N_ℓ. By backwards induction and using the Hales–Jewett Theorem, we may select a sequence $(w_i)_{i=0}^{\ell-1}$ of variable words over k such that for every $i\in\{0,...,\ell-1\}$ the following conditions are satisfied.

- (C1) The variable word w_i has length $N_{i+1} - N_i$.
- (C2) Let v be an m-variable word over k of length $N_i + \ell - i - 1$ and for every $j \in \{0, ..., N_i + \ell - i\}$ let v_j be the j-th coordinate of v. Then the set

$$\big\{(v_0, ..., v_{N_i-1})^\frown w_i(a)^\frown w_{i+1}(v_{N_i})^\frown w_{i+2}(v_{N_i+1})^\frown ...^\frown w_{\ell-1}(v_{N_i+\ell-i-2}) : a \in [k]\big\}$$

is monochromatic with respect to c.

The selection is fairly standard and the details are left to the reader.

We will isolate, for future use, a property guaranteed by the above selection. To state it we need to introduce some pieces of notation. Specifically, for every m-variable word $u = (u_0, ..., u_{\ell-1})$ over k of length ℓ and every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ let $S_i(u) = \big\{j \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\} : u_j = x_i\big\}$ and define

$$\mathcal{S}(u) = \big(S_0(u), ..., S_{m-1}(u)\big). \tag{A.13}$$

Notice that $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is a block sequence in $\{0, ..., \ell-1\}$ of length m. If u happens to be a variable word (that is, if $m = 1$), then the set $\mathcal{S}(u)$ is referred to the literature as the *wildcard* set of u.

Fact A.8. *For every pair $u = (u_0, ..., u_{\ell-1})$ and $v = (v_0, ..., v_{\ell-1})$ of m-variable words over k of length ℓ with $\mathcal{S}(u) = \mathcal{S}(v)$ we have that*

$$c\big(w_0(u_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{\ell-1}(u_{\ell-1})\big) = c\big(w_0(v_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{\ell-1}(v_{\ell-1})\big). \tag{A.14}$$

Fact A.8 follows easily by condition (C2). It implies, in particular, that the coloring c induces an r-coloring $\tilde{c}$ of the set of all block sequences in $\{0, ..., \ell-1\}$ of length m. By the choice of ℓ in (A.11), there exists a block sequence $\mathcal{S}$ in $\{0, ..., \ell-1\}$ of length d such that the set of all block subsequence of $\mathcal{S}$ of length m is monochromatic with respect to $\tilde{c}$. Let $u = (u_0, ..., u_{\ell-1})$ be an arbitrary d-variable word over k of length ℓ such that $\mathcal{S}(u) = \mathcal{S}$ and set $w = w_0(u_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{\ell-1}(u_{\ell-1})$. It is easy to check that w is a d-variable word over k of length N_ℓ such that the set of all m-variable subwords of w is monochromatic with respect to c. The proof of Theorem A.1 is thus completed.

Appendix B.

This appendix is devoted to the proof of the following proposition.

Proposition B.1. *For every $k, d, m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geqslant 2$, $d \geqslant m \geqslant 1$ and $r \geqslant 1$,*

$$\mathrm{CS}(k, d, m, r) \leqslant \mathrm{GR}(k, d+1, m+1, r) - 1. \tag{B.1}$$

By Theorem A.1 and Proposition B.1, we have the following corollary.

Corollary B.2. *The numbers $\mathrm{CS}(k, d, m, r)$ are bounded by a primitive recursive function belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}^6$ of Grzegorczyk's hierarchy.*

For the proof of Proposition B.1 we need to do some preparatory work. Let $\mathbf{w} = (w, w_0, ..., w_{n-1})$ be an n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. Also let $m \in [n]$. Firstly, for every m-variable word z over k of length at most n we define a sequence $(n_i)_{i=0}^{m}$ in $\mathbb{N}$ as follows. Let $\ell \in [n]$ be the length of z and write the m-variable word z as $(z_0, ..., z_{\ell-1})$. We set $n_m = \ell$ and for every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ let $n_i = \min\{j \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\} : z_j = x_i\}$. Notice that

$$0 \leqslant n_0 < n_1 < ... < n_{m-1} < n_m = \ell. \tag{B.2}$$

Next, fix a variable x and for every $j \in \{0, ..., \ell-1\}$ set $z'_j = z_j$ if $z_j \in [k]$, and $z'_j = x$ otherwise. Clearly, the sequence $z' = (z'_0, ..., z'_{\ell-1})$ is a variable word over k with the same length as z. Let

$$v = w^\frown w_0(z_0)^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_0-1}(z_{n_0-1}) \in [k]^{<\mathbb{N}} \tag{B.3}$$

with the convention that v is w if $n_0 = 0$. Moreover, for every $i \in \{0, ..., m-1\}$ let

$$v_i = w_{n_i}(z'_{n_i})^\frown ...^\frown w_{n_{i+1}-1}(z'_{n_{i+1}-1}) \tag{B.4}$$

and notice that v_i is a left variable word over k. We set

$$\mathbf{w} \otimes z = (v, v_0, ..., v_{m-1}). \tag{B.5}$$

It is clear from what we have said that $\mathbf{w} \otimes z$ is an m-dimensional Carlson–Simpson subsequence of $\mathbf{w}$. Finally, for every $(m+1)$-variable word u over k let u^* to be unique m-variable word which is obtained by restricting u just before the first appearance of the last variable.

We summarize below some properties guaranteed by the above constructions. All of them are straightforward consequences of the relevant definitions.

Fact B.3. *Let $\mathbf{w}$ be an n-dimensional Carlson–Simpson sequence over k. Also let $m, d \in [n]$ with $m \leqslant d$ and y be a $(d+1)$-variable word over k of length $n+1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w}) &= \big\{\mathbf{w} \otimes z : z \textit{ is an } m\textit{-variable word of length at most } n\big\} \\ &= \big\{\mathbf{w} \otimes u^* : u \textit{ is an } (m+1)\textit{-variable word of length } n+1\big\} \end{aligned} \tag{B.6}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w} \otimes y^*) = \big\{\mathbf{w} \otimes u^* : u \textit{ is an } (m+1)\textit{-variable subword of } y\big\}. \tag{B.7}$$

We are ready to proceed to the proof of Proposition B.1.

Proof of Proposition B.1. Let $\mathbf{w}$ be an Carlson–Simpson sequence over k such that $\dim(\mathbf{w}) \geqslant \mathrm{GR}(k, d+1, m+1, r) - 1$ and fix an r-coloring $c : \mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{w}) \to [r]$. Denote by n the dimension of $\mathbf{w}$. By Fact B.3, we may define an r-coloring $\tilde{c}$ of the set of all $(m+1)$-variable words over k of length $n+1$ by the rule $\tilde{c}(u) = c(\mathbf{w} \otimes u^*)$. By Theorem 2.2 and the estimate on $\dim(\mathbf{w})$, there exists a $(d+1)$-variable word y over k of length $n+1$ such that the set of all $(m+1)$-variable subwords of y is monochromatic with respect to the coloring $\tilde{c}$. Setting $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} \otimes y^*$, by Fact B.3 and the definition of $\tilde{c}$, we see that the set $\mathrm{Subseq}_m(\mathbf{v})$ is monochromatic with respect to c. The proof is completed. $\square$

REFERENCES

[1] V. Bergelson, A. Blass and N. Hindman, *Partition theorems for spaces of variable words*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc., 68 (1994), 449-476.

[2] A. Blass, *A partition theorem for perfect sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 82 (1981), 271-277.

[3] T. J. Carlson, *Some unifying principles in Ramsey Theory*, Discr. Math., 68 (1988), 117-169.

[4] T. J. Carlson and S. G. Simpson, *A dual form of Ramsey's theorem*, Adv. Math., 53 (1984), 265-290.

[5] P. Dodos, V. Kanellopoulos and K. Tyros, *Measurable events indexed by trees*, Comb. Probab. Comput., 21 (2012), 374-411.

[6] P. Dodos, V. Kanellopoulos and K. Tyros, *Measurable events indexed by products of trees*, preprint (2011).

[7] P. Dodos, V. Kanellopoulos and K. Tyros, *A density version of the Carlson–Simpson theorem*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (to appear).

[8] P. Erdős and A. Hajnal, *Some remarks on set theory, IX. Combinatorial problems in measure theory and set theory*, Mich. Math. Journal, 11 (1964), 107-127.

[9] P. Erdős and R. Rado, *Combinatorial theorems on classifications of subsets of a given set*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 2 (1952), 417-439.

[10] H. Furstenberg and Y. Katznelson, *Idempotents in compact semigroups and Ramsey theory*, Israel J. Math., 68 (1989), 257-270.

[11] H. Furstenberg and B. Weiss, *Markov processes and Ramsey theory for trees*, Comb. Probab. Comput., 12 (2003), 547-563.

[12] F. Galvin, *Partition theorems for the real line*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., 15 (1968), 660.

[13] R. L. Graham and B. L. Rothschild, *Ramsey's theorem for n-parameter sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 159 (1971), 257-292.

[14] R. L. Graham, B. L. Rothschild and J. H. Spencer, *Ramsey Theory*, 2nd edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1980.

[15] A. H. Hales and R. I. Jewett, *Regularity and positional games*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 106 (1963), 222-229.

[16] N. Hindman, *Finite sums from sequences within cells of a partition of* $\mathbb{N}$, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 17 (1974), 1-11.

[17] R. McCutcheon, *Elemental Methods in Ergodic Ramsey Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1722, Springer, 1999.

[18] K. Milliken, *Ramsey's theorem with sums or unions*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 18 (1975), 276-290.

[19] J. Nešetřil, *Ramsey Theory*, in "Handbook of Combinatorics", North-Holland, Amsterdam (1995), 1125-1213.

[20] H. J. Prömel and B. Voigt, *Graham–Rothschild parameter sets*, in "Mathematics of Ramsey Theory", Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1990), 113-149.

[21] F. P. Ramsey, *On a problem of formal logic*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 30 (1930), 264-286.

[22] H. E. Rose, *Subrecursion: functions and hierarchies*, Oxford Logic Guide 9, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1984.

[23] S. Shelah, *Primitive recursive bounds for van der Waerden numbers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc., 1 (1988), 683-697.

[24] E. Szemerédi, *Regular partitions of graphs*, in "Proc. Colloque Internat. CNRS" (J.-C. Bermond, J.-C. Fournier, M. Las Vergnas, D. Sotteau eds.), CNRS Paris, 1978, 399-401.

[25] A. Taylor, *A canonical partition relation for the finite subsets of ω*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. A, 21 (1976), 137-146.

Department of Mathematics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis 157 84, Athens, Greece
E-mail address: `pdodos@math.uoa.gr`

National Technical University of Athens, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Zografou Campus, 157 80, Athens, Greece
E-mail address: `bkanel@math.ntua.gr`

Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada M5S 2E4
E-mail address: `ktyros@math.toronto.edu`